

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_90838
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Participation of Female Pineapple Workers in Family Income and Decision Making aspect in Moulvibazar District, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>My primary concern is with the method section.</p> <p>First, I recommend that the author(s) divide the method section into sub-sections, as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Data and participants. The author(s) discuss the design study, including population size and characteristics, sampling method design, and sample size. Also, please justify the sampling technique method used by the author(s) to select 180 respondents. 2. Measurements. The author(s) define the outcome variable and each explanatory variable, as well as how to measure each variable. 3. Statistical analysis. Mention the statistical analysis used in this study by the author(s). 4. Ethical approval. Because this study involves human subjects, ethical approval is required. The author(s) should declare the Institutional Review Board or ethical committee that performed this study's ethical clearance. <p>Second, the outcome variable. 'Contribution to household income' and 'contribution to household decision making' are, in my opinion, two distinct concepts. They are both indicators of women's empowerment. I recommend that you explain how you generate the measurement of your study's single outcome variable, 'contribution to household income and decision making,' from these two indicators. Otherwise, this study must have two outcome variables: 'contribution to household income' and 'contribution to household decision making.' However, before measuring (either the first or second option), you should define the measurement of each indicator in your study.</p> <p>Third, in terms of 'Table 2', that is not the logistic regression analysis (the statistic formula in your study is logistic regression), but the linear regression analysis. For the logistic regression analysis, you should also specify the reference category for each explanatory variable. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios must also be calculated.</p> <p>Fourth, the result of statistical analysis. According to the statistical findings of your study, the significant explanatory variables had significance levels of 5% and 10%.</p> <p>As a result, the only factors influencing 'women's contribution in household income and decision making' were age, education level, work experience, and savings. Other explanatory variables that are not significant do not contribute to 'women's contribution in household income and decision-making.'</p> <p>Therefore, the author(s) should not mention non-significant explanatory variables as factors contributing to the outcome variable in this study.</p> <p>Fifth, in '3.3 Constraints faced by the sampled female workers and desirable solutions:', the author(s) mention 'And it is the main discriminating point which differentiates our male and female workers.'</p> <p>How do you reach this conclusion? Meanwhile 'dominated by male workers' is the last rank of the constraints.</p> <p>The introduction section</p> <p>To emphasize the significance of this study, the author(s) must describe the recent situation of women's empowerment in Bangladesh, such as the gender empowerment index and women's educational level. Probably you can find it in Bangladeshi Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) report. Any references to recent studies in Bangladesh on the participation of Bangladeshi women in household decision making and their acceptance of domestic violence will strengthen the argument for conducting this study.</p> <p>I also recommend that the author(s) read 'Resources, Agency, and Achievements: Reflections on the Measurement of Women's Empowerment' (N. Kabeer), which serves as the study's conceptual framework.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mardiana Dwi Puspitasari
Department, University & Country	National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Indonesia