

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_90613
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Perception of the Socio-Economic and Environmental Impact of Gold Mining in Buru Regency
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Provide relevant literature evidence which support your study. Use EndNote software for citation.	
Optional/General comments	This is an impressive body of work: Public Perception of the Socio-Economic and Environmental Impact of Gold Mining in Buru Regency I enjoyed reading the study and look forward to seeing the study revised and prepared for publication in the future. No need to send for re-evaluation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Govt Associate College Jahanian, Pakistan