

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_90403
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of training; technology adoption and improvement of livelihood of trained livestock farmers in different zones of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic is in line with the purpose of the study. The research question is clearly outlined. However, the methodology is not clear and needs to be revised including the sampling method as well as data collection methods. The manuscript also has some grammatical errors and some poorly constructed sentences, so this affects the flow of the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the findings section, there is a need to discuss, compare and contrast effectively what other scholars have said on the same topic. Presently the paper just cites a scholar but gives limited discussion. Tables need to be properly placed that is tables at the top and then its interpretation at the bottom	
Optional/General comments	Overally, the paper highlighted important issues that have a direct impact on the improvement of the livelihoods of farmers. It is significantly contributing to the body of knowledge The study involved collecting data from farmers in different regions. The author (s) did not highlight how they handle ethical issues relating to how they collected data to protect the identity of the farmers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paradzai Munyede
Department, University & Country	Africa University, Zimbabwe