

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_91321
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of State Vision Screening Guidelines on the Leading Cause of Preventable Pediatric Vision Loss
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The whole article should be reviewed for grammar	
Minor REVISION comments	Add the following references Li Y, Ling L, Zhang D, Wu J. Lead investors and information disclosure: A test of signaling theory by fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis approach. Managerial and Decision Economics. 2021 Jun;42(4):836-49. Azizoğlu S, Crewther SG, Şerefhan F, Barutçu A, Göker S, Junghans BM. Evidence for the need for vision screening of school children in Turkey. BMC ophthalmology. 2017 Dec;17(1):1-4.	
Optional/General comments	Accept after revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balaji Kannan
Department, University & Country	The Tamilnadu Dr Mgr Medical University, India