

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_91015
Title of the Manuscript:	Persistent Intraocular Residue with the Use of Dexycu® in Cataract Extraction: A Case Series
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is a little short, could be more extensive but is well written.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the 2 nd paragraph of Result and Discussion they mentioned Kageyama and Yaguchi and quote number 9, but in the references number 9 corresponds to other authors. Only the 42% of the references corresponds to the last 5 years. The references are outdated.	
Optional/General comments	It is a good article with scientific novelty.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Damaris Hodelin Fuentes
Department, University & Country	General Hospital "Dr. Juan Bruno Zayas Alfonso", Cuba