

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_89613
Title of the Manuscript:	Demographic profile & pattern of ocular and adnexal injuries from Sloth Bear Maul: A study in tertiary centre of Bilaspur District, Chhattisgarh.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Abstract less structured. We recommend starting with the introduction, research objectives, research methods, research results and literature review and conclusions. Sentences often start with a number, it is better if the number is written with a word instead of a number. Keywords are not appropriate to display animal habitats because this study does not discuss details about animal habitats.</p> <p>2. In the introduction, it is good to start with the magnitude of the incidence of ocular trauma, the epidemiology and how much trauma is caused by animals. This is followed by an explanation of what animals can cause ocular trauma based on available data. What about ocular trauma by bears in other areas? After that, only briefly explained about the characteristics of the bear.</p> <p>3. In the method, do all patients get a complete eye exam? Or only those who are traumatized with suspected fractures, do a CT scan, for example. In the previous method described, all patients with ocular trauma and adnexa were attacked by bears as samples. Why did the inclusion criteria include ocular trauma and adnexa by bears? It would be nice if the inclusion criteria included all patients with ocular trauma and adnexa caused by bears with complete medical records. the exclusion criteria is not appropriate if it causes ocular and adnexal trauma caused by other animals. what if after being attacked by a bear, the patient is stung by a bee? Are inclusion criteria included? trauma other than ocular and adnexa as exclusion criteria are also not appropriate. If the ocular trauma is accompanied by a fracture of the humerus, does it fit into the sample criteria? better advice on exclusion criteria: patients who did not get a complete examination or incomplete data. If the ocular and adnexa trauma patient by a bear is not in the Bilaspur forest area but goes to the research location hospital, will the sample be included?</p> <p>4. In the results, the time of the attack, the location of the attack, the activity during the attack is not described in detail in the method, whether this data is numeric or ordinal or categorical. For age, what basis is used to divide the age group? The type and location of ocular trauma that has occurred has also not been mentioned. What will be divided on the basis of previous research on the type or area of ocular and adnexal trauma. Showing photos of the wound and the patient's face, does the patient have permission? The patient's visual acuity is checked, are all patients with all degrees of injury checked for their visual acuity?</p> <p>5. The discussion does not show the advantages or disadvantages of this research.</p> <p>6. The conclusion of this study does not answer the research objectives and does not reflect the title of the study. It is better to show conclusions from the research data obtained. For example, a descriptive study conducted at Hospital X found 27 patients with ocular and adnexal trauma, most of which occurred at location Y, age group Z, while doing activity A. The trauma that occurred was mostly caused by scratches, at the location of the eyeball, for example like that.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Wayan Widyantara
Department, University & Country	Universitas Udayana, Indonesia