

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_91092
Title of the Manuscript:	Commonness and Risk Factors Associated with Gastrointestinal Parasitic Infections among Inmates of Port Harcourt Children Home.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I believe that this study should be deeply revised, by the followed aspects:</p> <p>Introduction Brief consideration: you referred in all the document the word "prevalence", but this study does not correspond to a prevalence study. Please check on that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Can you please clarify the information with much more references about the stated <i>E. histolytica</i> infection being the third common cause of death in children after malaria and schistosomiasis? - You talk about the prevalence of GIS in Port Harcourt, but you did not refer the most common parasites associated to. - Every time, you put an acronymous in the text for the first time, you have to put in brackets, its meaning. The GIP referred in the abstract should be like I suggest here. <p>Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -It would be interesting if you mention for how many days you performed the sampling activity. Every day? Aleatory days? Only during the week? - It is also interesting to detail when children could answer by themselves who did it? - Is important to understand how many people were living there? To better understand the representation of the Port Harcourt Children Home. - I believe that you used other type of technique that you did not mention on the methodology, the ZN. Is there any reason why? <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - By the screen you performed, you detected only three species? Do you mean that all the 63 individuals were contaminated only by those AL, HK and TT? Is there any think missing in here? <p>Discussions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - You could discuss much more this study and explore more the presence of other gastrointestinal parasites. Also, the relation between them and the helminths. You state that there were cases of co-infection, I wonder if it was only by the helminths? - What are the factors associated to? The hygiene? If yes, how do you explain the fact the people who seems to be more careful were the most contaminated? Or if not, how do you explain that people who took drugs are most contaminated than those who did not? - What do you think could be the main causes to this tendency of contamination? What do you suggest, according to the present study? - I suggest a better discussion of the study and more references worldwide. Also, the comparison with other African countries, most of them with the same types of problems and their information availability, this should be taking in consideration. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The study has potential to go forward and if better explored, I believe it will be a step on health of children in orphanages	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cátia Lucinda Silva Macaringue Salamandane
Department, University & Country	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal