

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_90971
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Digital Health Literacy Instruments for Students of the Faculty of Health Science, Universitas Dian Nuswantoro
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please, consider <b>the text in yellow – in</b> the file with the article.</p> <p>I made some proposals and corrections in the text, marked <b>in green</b>. Please, check.</p> <p>For me this is not a case study. My opinion is that this is a research article.</p> <p>Please, correct the number of experts, involved in the study. Page 5 – Expert review – six experts. Page 11, line 1 – ten experts</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Page 3 – I think that you can change the word stage with objectives or tasks. Your opinion.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivet Koleva
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria