

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_89998
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp.) UNDER DIFFERENT DOSES OF PHOSPHORUS IN A SANDY SOIL WITH AND WITHOUT PLASTER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The '<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>' on the title must be italicized.2. The Abstract needs to be modified as already indicated in the main paper.3. The experimental design used was 'Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD)'. The author must indicate that properly.4. The headings of the following were not written in English and should be corrected as already indicated in the main paper: Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Fig. 5.5. Under Results and discussion, the Tables and Figures require major formatting as already indicated in the main paper.6. In the Reference section, the author should provide the full names of the authors in the following: [3], [7], [14], [15] and [16].	
Minor REVISION comments	The Introduction, Materials and Methods, Statistical analysis, Results and discussion, Conclusion and the reference all had minor corrections which have already been indicated in the main paper.	
Optional/General comments	The study is a good trial experiment. It was not very well written. Only a few major and a number of minor correction is required before publishing. I recommend that this work should be accepted for publication if the necessary corrections and comments are addressed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uko, Ibeabuchi
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria