

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_90987
Title of the Manuscript:	THERAPEUTIC ERROR : TYPES AND PREVENTION STRATEGIES AND FOCUS ABOUT "LASA" AND "FAR" DRUGS
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>No apply.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>No apply.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is an interesting manuscript focused therapeutic errors associated to many sources of risks such as toxicological issues, drug release problems, etc. It merits to be published. Please consider the following comments and suggestions: i) In the abstract define "LASA" and "FAR"; ii) Consider to add in the Abstract introduction a phrase describing the objective of the Review, then the methodology. iii) In similar manner in the Introduction it should be stated the objective of the Review highlighting the novelty or the point of view of the discussion. It is important to trap the attention of the reader. Iv) In the Result and Discussion section, it should be added redaction telling or introducing all the types of therapeutics. It should be conducted the knowledge from a general point of view to then describe and give details of each type. It is a suggestion to improve the text and by this manner develop a better understanding of the topics. v) The conclusions are interesting; and in this regard please check if there is some statistic value to add. Vi) Revise the literature. Check for recent literature if there is available on the theme.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba (UNC), Argentine