

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_90830
Title of the Manuscript:	The different preferences of learning among emergency medicine residents in Riyadh, during their residency program
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Some parts of this article contain sentences from another article. For example, the first sentence of the Conclusion section was copied from another article and forgotten to be deleted. In addition, the authors mention data on "comorbidities and laboratory tests" in the "Materials and Methods" section. However, comorbidities and laboratory tests were not investigated in this survey study.2. Please provide more information about the manufacturer of the SPSS program.3. The article contains many typos and grammatical mistakes. Language revision should be done by a native English speaker.4. Keywords should be written alphabetically.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	A survey showing preferred training materials among emergency medicine residents in medical education. It also shows that the choice of educational materials has shifted towards a different trend in line with the era. However, I think that this study is not within the scope of the journal. This article is not a study of pharmaceutical research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> NO	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Filiz Mercantepe
Department, University & Country	Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Turkey