

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_90194
Title of the Manuscript:	Updates in the Epidemiology of Fatty Liver and its Consequences in Saudi Arabia: Review Article
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>abstract what is meant by NAFLD, should not be abbreviated at the beginning of the description. The abstract also describes the type of research used, research procedures, results and conclusions</p> <p>Introduction In this chapter, the researcher should explain what the research problem is, its prevalence, what efforts have been made to overcome the problem and what the researcher's efforts to overcome the problem are so that it looks like a research novelty. Finally, state what the urgency of this research is that it needs to be done.</p> <p>Method This article is not clear whether it is a case study or a literature review, if it is a literature review or a review article, the researcher should describe the results obtained in an article then the researcher will present the results of the review to be discussed in the discussion chapter.</p> <p>Researchers should describe the results of an article that is reviewed, then researchers explain what should be so that there appears to be a more logical result.</p> <p>Conclusion Explain what the researcher finds, so that the conclusions are clearer and more focused according to the research objectives</p> <p>References Reference writing in accordance with the guidelines of this journal</p> <p>Article writing should use justify so that the article has neat margins</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Need improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions and writing guidelines that have been determined	
Optional/General comments	needs improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendrik
Department, University & Country	Health Polytechnic Makassar Ministry of Health, Indonesia