

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89757
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanoparticles Loaded Mucoadhesive Buccal Patches - Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No apply	
Minor REVISION comments	No apply	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is a very interesting manuscript about the transfer and incorporation of Nanotechnology within buccal patches for targeted treatments and drug delivery. The targeted idea and concept merits to be published. However before that, please consider the following observations in order to improve it and provide more information related to readers: i) The abstract could be more developed adding more information provided in the different sections; ii) Evaluate the distribution and balance of information and development of the different sections. For example the Introduction is clearly more developed than other subsections; iii) Add cites in the Intro. Check in other sections as well; iv) Consider to add some phrase related with the aims of the Review in the Introduction; v) In the Nanotechnology section; If you consider that the length of the section is ok; please consider to don't split it in many subsections. Consider the same commentary for the others sections; vi) Try to add some final comment or closing remarks in each section finalization in order to conclude and open the interest to the reader for the next one. Vii) Check mention of Figures in the right place. Add further discussion. viii) In many section it is not developed the redaction. It should be developed text to guide the reader.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba (UNC), Argentina