

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89659
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of Ventricular Septal Rupture in Patients of Acute Myocardial Infarction
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors of this manuscript studied/recorded the occurrence of VSD in patients who had a myocardial infarction. Showed association was 1.3 percent.</p> <p>First and foremost, authors should give their manuscript to a native English speaker for proofreading. sometimes the fonts are different, everything should be in order.</p> <p>In my opinion, table 1 is not necessary, it can be described in the text in the material. It must be emphasized and noted that the authors had the informed consent of the patients for the study.</p> <p>The conclusion is poorly written and the content is generally poor. These are already known literary facts.</p> <p>In the discussion section, authors should discuss the occurrence of VSD in diabetics who had a heart attack, in people who had hypertension, in smokers, find the literature and connect it. discuss differences between groups.</p> <p>After rewriting I can propose publishing of this article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bozidarka L. Zaric
Department, University & Country	Serbia