

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_89509
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF POST-OPERATIVE PAIN IN PATIENTS WITH PLATYSMA MUSCLE SUTURE VERSUS NO SUTURE FOR WOUND CLOSURE AFTER THYROID SURGERY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A total number of 68 patients planned for primary thyroid surgery, aged 18-60 years of both genders were included in this study. Patients were divided into two equal groups using draw randomization. It is a clinical trial involving patients who were subjected to a different method.</p> <p>It is quite clear from the literature that the authors assumed based on previous papers (at least one) that one method is better and more painless for the patient than the other. In my opinion, this is an ethically problematic approach, so it is important to see if the patients were informed about possible outcomes and which BODY APPROVED SUCH AN EXPERIMENT and the number approved to indicate in the paper.</p> <p>A quasi experimental study was conducted at the department of General Surgery, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center Karachi between (dates). A non-probability consecutive sampling was employed to recruit participants.</p> <p>From the methods it is clear that this is a sample (A non-probability consecutive sampling) for which it is difficult to say whether it can be sufficient and methodologically justified to perform any generalizations. it is not clear from the paper whether the patients in the two groups were comparable to each other by any parameter other than general dg., and what should be explained if they are or why they are not if they are not if they are not.</p> <p>CONCLUSION : Following thyroid surgery, there was less discomfort related to the incision when the platysma muscle suture was not used. So avoiding muscle suture is an easy way of controlling post-op pain after thyroidectomy.</p> <p>I think the conclusion is too general and cannot be made on the basis of 68 patients. The problem of postoperative pain is very complex and I can not agree with the conclusion that it is very simple to control it only by choosing how to perform stitches.</p> <p>The authors point out that they found only one paper with a similar theme. However, the review of references is actually outdated in my opinion. Namely, all references are older than 2017, so they are 5 years old and older and I think it is necessary for the authors to update them with more recent references before publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aleksandar Racz
Department, University & Country	University of Applied Health Sciences, EU