

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88654
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE, INFORMATION & ATTITUDE TOWARDS USING SUPPLEMENTS AMONG UNIVERSITY ATHLETES
Type of the Article	Cross-sectional survey study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In methodology, change "nature of the study" for "study design" It is not quantitative. Should fit better as a cross-sectional survey study.2. Describe your sample number calculations. Why working with only 100 student surveys?3. In results, change the 26 tables for either 1 figure showing the survey structure , and just 1 table showing the chi square and P values for each item.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In methodology change "student" for "students"2. In sample of study change "sample" for "surveys"3. Try not to make generalizations in the conclusions if you don't have a correctly calculated sample size.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Even though having a short sample, you could try to fix a bit more elaborate descriptive analysis of the student sample, for knowing which kind of sports they practices or which competition level they are in, for example.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francisco Figueroa-Cavero
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Rehabilitation, Mexico