

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_91072
Title of the Manuscript:	Benchmarking Naturopathy Education; Comparing the Indian and Ghanaian Curricula
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - please describe briefly what research methods have been used in this study 2. Introduction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - what does the mean "as" mean in this sentence? please correct the wording in this paragraph - what research problem? what solutions can be offered? why choose this topic? please explain clearly 3. Methodology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - what type of these research? what is the research variable? how to collect and process the data? Please explain clearly 4. Result <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the table is written not neatly, please correct it according to the journal guide 5. Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I don't see any discussion here, just describing the results, please add a discussion according to the topic being discussed, please use references from the latest scientific journals 6. Conclusion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the conclusion in this manuscript is too long, it is enough to present the main purpose of this study whether it has been answered in the hypothesis or research question 7. References <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - too few references for a scientific journal, please add the latest references that can enrich the discussion 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haris Setyawan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia