

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_90558
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Automated Transit Dissected: An Overview of Lawrence J. Fabian's Writings</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract does not have any outcomes that represent the importance of Automated Transit.</li> <li>Figures that show the details and types of Automated Transit are required.</li> <li>Showing world map that show the usage of Automated Transit with percentage is also preferred.</li> <li>It is very important to illustrate the specialists of transit field that agree and disagree with Fabian ideas.</li> <li>The conclusions should present the requirements and challenges of adopting the Automated Transit, beside the main positive and negative points.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Are there any negative points about the Automated transit like reducing the work opportunities for people working on this field?</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is preferred to fix the year of preparing the data shown in Table 1.</li> <li>It is preferred to illustrate and summarize the works of Fabian in a table.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mohammed Zuhair Mohamedmeki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mustansiriyah University, Iraq</b>