

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_90269
Title of the Manuscript:	Nonlinear Radial Consolidation Analysis of Vertical Drains Foundation under Cyclic Loadings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A) Abstract: Kindly conclude your abstract in the last sentences</p> <p>B) Introduction: The introduction states clearly the objective of the paper and provides an adequate background of the study. However, the author did not use the latest references as to show the needs of the research to be conducted.</p> <p>C) Methodology: Materials and methods are clear but the author needs to make citations if the method is taken from a previous researcher.</p> <p>D) Results and Discussion: All of the results are not discussed in detail. The author just mentioned the value based on the figure but did not compare the outcomes with the previous researcher. The introduction of the figure is also missing.</p> <p>E) Conclusions: Conclusions are sufficient</p> <p>F) References: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Majority of the references are out of date. Kindly update the references. - Kindly check the format of the references </p> <p>G) Tables and Figures: All of the figures can be improvised and enlarge</p> <p>H) Grammar and Language: There is a major grammatical error in the paper. The author can use a software like Grammarly to help improve the quality of the write-up.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Kindly update the references as the majority of your references are out of date	
Optional/General comments	N/A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Syamsul Imran Zaini
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia