

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_90057
Title of the Manuscript:	Copy Paper from Recycled Egg Cartons – A 12-inch Paper Machine Development Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1. Use the SI units only throughout the manuscript 2. Scope for the improvement in English. Use present tense while referring your work and past tense for prior research work. 3. why CSF increased after 80 minutes of refining 4. section 3.1.2- should be included in materials and methods section with addition information about the degree of freeness used for the preparation of sheets and REC and virgin fibre content of handsheets. 5. amount of starch used in the formation of paper handsheets. 6. paper is report in the form of report, more discussion should be added 7. NBSK fiber content atleast three different contents should be added and runnability of machine and paper properties be presented in the paper. 8. Spelling mistakes must be corrected throughout the manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vaishali Saroha
Department, University & Country	India