

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_90057
Title of the Manuscript:	Copy Paper from Recycled Egg Cartons – A 12-inch Paper Machine Development Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript developed copy paper product out of the recycled egg cartons. Both hand sheet and machine-made paper product were obtained by combining recycled egg carton fiber and softwood Kraft fiber. The density, tensile/tear index, opacity and brightness were measured for the produced paper. The manuscript was poorly prepared with too many typos, grammar mistakes and format problems. In addition, throughout the manuscript, the research has no advantage over traditional paper manufacturing method. Therefore, I recommend to reject it for publication. Detailed comments are below.</p> <p>(1) Typos, grammar problems in Abstract: "a office type laser printer" "93.20 Nm/g and 9.78 mN/gm²"</p> <p>(2) In "Introduction" section, "One of these recycled aper containing materials" "processed through a variety of processed to remove the unwanted components" "The produced fiber slurry is den moulded into the distinctive egg carton shape." "the development and manufacturing of a copy like paper product" http://www.eggpac.com/egg-packaging.html</p> <p>(3) The produced paper absorbs more moisture which leads to increased weight and thickness, which makes the paper doesn't fit the application scenario of copy and printing. In addition, properties of the produced paper should be compared to commercial papers.</p> <p>(4) Section 2.1, "For the development of a copy paper 60 lbs. (10.3 kg)" Section 3.1, "an approximate size of between 1-ich and 2-inch (25 mm to 50 mm) square size and"</p> <p>(5) Format of the references is in a mess.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Licheng Ju
Department, University & Country	University of Maryland, USA