

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_89270
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Local Clays from Edo and Delta State as feedstock in drilling fluid formulation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The protocol for the mud sample formulation and testing need to be looked at again. Issues of aging, duration of the aging, temperature for conducting the experiment must be address. Commercial or reactive clays need at least 16 hours to build their viscosities. How the clays were beneficiated. The addition of CMC is not beneficiation. The API values quoted needs to be looked at again Other issues are raised in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	The work should be further summarised Justification for conducting the work is not strong enough	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Richard Amorin
Department, University & Country	University of Mines and Technology, Ghana