

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JENRR_90546
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Study of Hybrid Flat-Plate Solar Collector/Nocturnal Radiator For Water Heating and Cooling in Owerri, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Substantially, the abstract is good enough but the research objectives need to be included and the order in the abstract is the objectives, background, methods, and results.To improve the quality of research results it needs to be validated.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The introduction is good enough but needs to be drawn from the latest literature, and in the end paragraph, the purpose of the research needs to be clarified.Conclusions should answer the research objectives.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The present work seeks to experimentally expand the study in the deployment single hybrid surface for diurnal solar water heating and nocturnal radiative cooling in the tropics, this manuscript is good enough but for more interesting results, it is recommended that the results of this study need to be compared with studies that have been carried out by previous researchers, and in the manuscript please pay attention to punctuation and spaces.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pribadyo
Department, University & Country	Teuku Umar University, Indonesia