

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_91141
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of the main dishes made from the pulp of Tomi (Tamarindus indica L) consumed in the savannah region of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is contemporary and worth to be researched</p> <p>The abstract is adequate, it represents the paper in all its parts.</p> <p>The introduction is adequate, clear, and streamlined. It is also supported with relevant and updated references.</p> <p>The methodology is clear and adequate for this research.</p> <p>The author needs to elaborate on the questionnaire design</p> <p>Also, the authors have to elaborate on how many questionnaires were not valid!! Since the equation for sample size leads to a sample of 384 persons... then how many faulted to add 60 more to get the final size...</p> <p>The other parts including data treatment are fine</p> <p>Results are clearly represented in Tables and Figures and are sufficient to support the discussion and enrich the analysis.</p> <p>Here the author has to consist in the font size used in the Tables and Figures...</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, clear, streamlined, and validated with relevant citations.</p> <p>The conclusion is clear. It is necessary to elaborate on the Limitations of the study and add future research, especially the economic side (costing) of the different meals discussed...</p> <p>Are there any limitations to the research???</p> <p>Future work????</p> <p>Review carefully the write-up of the references for consistency and to match the Journal's requirements.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon