

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_91141
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of the main dishes made from the pulp of Tomi (<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L) consumed in the savannah region of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Some parts of the paper (Introduction, Discussions) are difficult to read because they are written in a single paragraph. Please split them in several small ones, according to the presented ideas.</p> <p>Suggestions: add a supplementary photo with the dish for Fig. 5-10 (perhaps an original one for each production diagram). I think it would look better and it would be more interesting for readers.</p> <p>All over the text you mention Figure x, while all the titles are Fig. x. Please use a single form.</p> <p>"Conclusion" is too similar with the Abstract. You can add some more of your specific results, there are enough in the paper.</p> <p>Check and correct the citations – I didn't found [15] and 18 is written ((18). Also, References are written different from one paper to another – fix this.</p> <p>Pay attention to writing and punctuation marks (Materiel, Localitys etc).</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Berca Mihai
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Romania