

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_90860  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Long Term Fertilizer and FYM Application on Soil Fertility of a Vertisol under Soybean-Wheat Cropping System |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>The introduction was framed well.<br/>The details of the study area and the treatment samples were provided.<br/>The detail methodology for the result in the table 2 was not provided.<br/>In materials and method, the treatment plans were made and its was named from T1 to T10. In the result and discussion the treatment names were not used.<br/>A clear discussion was not found in the manuscript.<br/>In the conclusion it was stated that “, findings clearly indicated that the recommended dose of NPK with organic manure are essential for sustainable crop production and soil fertility” How this is possible ?? because the author has chosen 100% NPK and its related proportion. If various proportion were used in the treatment plan from a minimum range to a maximum range, it could be concluded so.</p> <p>Hence conclusion can be much more better related to the treatment plans and parameter studied.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | V. N. Meena Devi                                |
| Department, University & Country | Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India |