

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_90951
Title of the Manuscript:	MULTICENTRE EVALUATION OF TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS OUTPATIENTS ON INSULIN THERAPY IN NIGERIA (METOIN STUDY).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for asking my opinion about the manuscript entitled "MULTICENTRE EVALUATION OF TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS OUTPATIENTS ON INSULIN THERAPY IN NIGERIA (METOIN STUDY)". I believe that this manuscript should be major revision:</p> <p>There were several good things about the paper, such as aim good. But the abstract should be reformulated and the objective of the study should be well highlighted.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract should be completely changed. 2. In the introduction, include the significance of the study as well as novelty. What makes the study different from the rest and what does it add to the current knowledge? 3. In the introduction, the authors should have explained the purpose of this study and the existing gaps in this field and explained why this study was conducted. 4. There are a lot of grammatical errors. This must be taken care of and addressed. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali B. Roomi
Department, University & Country	National University of Science and Technology, Iraq