

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_90814
Title of the Manuscript:	An unusual case of Nemaline Myopathy type 3
Type of the Article	A Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article reports an interesting case of Nemaline Myopathy type 3 with alpha-actin1 (ACTA1) mutation.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the last paragraph of the Discussion: "Individuals with less severe types, on the other hand, can live an everyday life provided they receive regular treatment". Should a regular treatment be available for NM patients, does (do) the author(s) have such a treatment? If yes, why no hint does exist in the article?	
Optional/General comments	In the Conclusion , it's mentioned un "understanding" of an "effective and diligent therapy"!! It's recommended that such propositions be in the Discussion !!.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mhd Azhar Kharsa
Department, University & Country	United Kingdom