

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_90493
Title of the Manuscript:	HYPERTENSION AND REQUIRED LIFESTYLE MODIFICATION - BEYOND CONTROVERSY
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	The work is important for Aging WWII global villagers but mostly describing a common sense and lacking of novelty to be useful for seniors	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There lacks of cases studies that satisfy NIH gold standard, namely “ Double Blind, Negative Control, & Sufficient Statistics (DB,NC,SS)”	
Minor REVISION comments	In spite of lacking of those DB,NC,SS Gold standard but ought to be described for the edifice of readership	
Optional/General comments	Why not cite other case studies to supplement the lack of scientific investigation?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harold Szu
Department, University & Country	USA