

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_90344
Title of the Manuscript:	Changes in the Cardiorespiratory Fitness of Exercised Pregnant Women Leading to Delivery
Type of the Article	original research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> Researchers should describe the type and research design used in this study</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> In this chapter, researchers should describe what the research problem is, then reveal the prevalence of pregnant women who do not exercise and who do exercise. Then state what efforts have been made to solve the research problem and what efforts the researcher offers to solve the problem. Finally, explain what the urgency of the research is so that research needs to be done.</p> <p><b>Research Design</b> State the type of research used and the statistical tests used to analyze the research data</p> <p><b>Result</b> Explain what the conclusions are from the results of the analysis, researchers should not be stuck with the numbers in the table</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> In the discussion chapter, researchers should not describe quantitative data from statistical analysis results. State what is the conclusion of the analysis, explain what the researcher's ideas are related to the conclusions of the findings accompanied by citations to support the researcher's ideas.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> The conclusion of the researcher should describe what the findings in this study are</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Need improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions and writing guidelines that have been determined	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	needs improvement according to the reviewer's suggestions	

**Comment [HP1]:** In the discussion chapter, researchers should not describe quantitative data from statistical analysis results. State what is the conclusion of the analysis, explain what the researcher's ideas are related to the conclusions of the findings accompanied by citations to support the researcher's ideas.

**Comment [HP2]:** The conclusion of the researcher should describe what the findings in this study are

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hendrik
Department, University & Country	Indonesia