

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_87975
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTRACEPTIVE USE AMONG STUDENTS OF HEALTH TRAINING INSTITUTION IN NORTH-EAST REGION OF GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	We suggest the inclusion of "nursing students" in the research title. Some descriptors are unofficial. We suggest consulting the MeSH descriptors (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/) and replacing those that are possible. The criteria for selection and exclusion of participants were not clear. It would be interesting to explain further.	
Optional/General comments	Study with a theme relevant to the health area. The language is clear and in line with the journal's recommendations. The introduction has an overview of the theme. Purpose is plausible. Choose the method appropriate to the objective. The results were expressed appropriately to the method. The discussion was carried out with current materials that dialogue with the findings. The limitations of the study and its contributions to the advancement of science were clear. We are in favor of accepting the manuscript after minor revisions and we salute the authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> They weren't very clear. We suggest going into more detail on the method.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hugo Fernandes
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Brazil