

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_88950
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMIZATION OF SUBMERGED FERMENTATION PARAMETERS FOR ENHANCED LIPASE PRODUCTION FROM NEWLY ISOLATED LIPOLYTIC YEASTS USING AGRO WASTE SUBSTRATES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author needs to revise manuscript to article template. This will require significant changes.	
Minor REVISION comments	Revise manuscript to article template requirements. Ariel not Times roman, etc., etc., no sections in a, b,c, etc., instead 1, 1.1, 1.1.1.... Abstract is to long. Shorten. Conclusion section is to short expand. Make sure you have spaces in the text where they are needed, e.g. references, commas, μ instead of u, etc. Add equipment used for analyses in Material section. Add testing standards or explain in detail how tests were performed. Explain hot water treatment, how it is done. The material section needs to be very descriptive, allowing other scientists to duplicate your research. Significant additions need to be made to this section. Make sure a visual impaired scientist that used as pdf reader can understand your procedures. This applies to the results and discussion section as well. All figures need to be reworked to a consistent format. They should all be the same size and contain the same text style and font. They should not be compresses in hight, that looks odd. See article templated for figures. References nit in () instead in [] according to article template. Check reference in regard to compliance with article template. Add numbers to your finding in conclusion.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Klaus Doelle
Department, University & Country	State University of New York, USA