

## **Prevalence of Depression, Problematic Drinking and Correlates among University Students in Southwest Nigeria**

### **Abstract**

**Aim:** To determine the prevalence and correlations of depression and problematic drinking among undergraduates in a Nigerian University.

**Study Design:** The study design was a descriptive cross-sectional survey

**Methodology:** The study was conducted among 412 full-time undergraduates of LAUTECH, 18 years and above and apparently well without previous history of mental illness. Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT) and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) are instruments used for the study. Data analysis was done with the statistical package for social sciences version 21.

**Result:** Approximately half (49.5%) of the respondents were depressed and 20.4% of them had major depression. The prevalence of problematic alcohol use in the study population was 10.4% mostly among males. There was no significant association between depression, alcohol use and problematic alcohol use ( $\chi^2 = 1.125$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P\text{-value} = 0.289$ ) in the study population.

**Conclusion:** The increasing prevalence of depression and problematic drinking among university students is worrisome as this will not only adversely impact their academic performance but future productivity and the risk of other psychosocial problems.

**Keywords:** Alcohol, Problematic drinking, Depression, Undergraduates, Nigeria students

### **1.0 Introduction**

Depression is a leading contributing factor to mortality among young adults<sup>1</sup> constituting health burden to society<sup>2</sup>. Adewuya et al.<sup>3</sup> reported the prevalence of Major Depressive Disorder in college students with alcohol dependence, alcohol abuse, and hazardous use as 23.8%, 17.2%, and 12.4% respectively. Depression is a risk factor for alcohol-related problems while chronic binge drinking can also result in the development of depressive symptoms<sup>4</sup>.

Depression has been linked to alcohol-related problems through various theories. One of such theories is the causal theory which suggests that alcohol abuse or heavy drinking can lead to depression in the short term<sup>5</sup>. Also, neurobiological studies have shown that hippocampal atrophy underlies depression associated with long-term alcohol use<sup>6</sup>. Alcohol-related disorders have also been shown to contribute to an increased risk of depression. Furthermore, alcohol is used as self-medication for affective symptoms, which explains the increased rate of alcohol abuse or dependence in those with major depression<sup>7</sup>.

In the shared aetiology theory, chronic drinking is proposed to result in stressful life situations which indirectly increase the risk of depression<sup>8</sup>. Also, psychosocial stressor could increase the risk of alcohol use, alcohol-related problems and depression<sup>9</sup>.

Individual differences in predispositions relating to emotion, cognition, and motivation may also account for the comorbidity of alcohol use, alcohol-related problems and depression<sup>10</sup>. Also, negative emotionality and neuroticism have been extensively linked with internalizing disorders such as depression and alcohol use disorders<sup>11</sup>. Therefore, understanding how these variables are linked to alcohol use may add to the body of knowledge of the aetiology of alcohol use.

Problematic alcohol use is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality associated with more than 200 diseases and injuries. Alcohol has also been seen as the gateway to other psychoactive substance use, especially among students<sup>12</sup>. Information from this study may assist in developing school-based alcohol abuse prevention programs. This may help in reducing the use of other psychoactive substances and the incidence of diseases and injuries (such as those relating to a road traffic accident) associated with alcohol use. Also, it may help in the formulation of policies for the prevention of problems related to problematic alcohol use.

Therefore, the study aimed to evaluate the prevalence and correlates of depression and problematic drinking among university students in Nigeria.

## **2.0 Materials and Methods**

### **2.1 Study Area**

The study was carried out at Ladoke Akintola University of Technology (LAUTECH), located in Ogbomoso, Oyo, Nigeria, with geographical coordinates 8° 8' 0" North, 4° 16' 0" East. The entire student body population of more than 25,000 is involved in the three academic programs (pre-degree science program, undergraduate programs, and post-graduate programs) run by the university. The university has seven faculties (Basic medical science, Clinical science, Agricultural Sciences, Environmental Sciences, Engineering, Pure and applied sciences and Management) and twenty-eight (28) departments.

### **2.2 Study Design**

The study design was a descriptive cross-sectional survey.

### **2.3 Study Population**

All full-time undergraduates of LAUTECH, 18 years and above, apparently well without previous history of mental illness were recruited for the study. The estimated total full-time student population of this institution as of the period of study was 20000<sup>13</sup>.

### **2.4 Sample Size**

The minimum sample size was determined using the formula by Fishers<sup>14</sup> for a population greater than 10,000 and based on the prevalence of alcohol use from a similar study<sup>15</sup>.

Thus, the calculated minimum sample size was 363

Adding a non-response rate of 20% ( $363 + 73 = 436$ ).

### **2.5 Study Procedure**

Multi-stage sampling technique was adopted. 3 faculties were selected from the 7 faculties in the university by simple random sampling. In faculties with 3 departments or less, one department was selected by simple random sampling method while for faculties with more than 3 departments, 2 departments were selected by simple random sampling method. For every selected department, a level/year of study was selected randomly out of the 5 levels for all courses selected. The total sample size was distributed proportionally to the selected departments based on the total number of students in each department. After determining the number to be interviewed in each department, students to be interviewed were selected randomly using the table of random numbers.

The subjects were addressed on the importance of the study, the procedure and the benefits. The selected respondents were taken out of the class to a convenient venue and approached individually for consent to participate in the study.

### **2.6 Instruments**

#### **2.6.1 Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT)**

The AUDIT scale consists of 10 items measured on a 4-point Likert format, with a total maximum score of 40. In this environment, a cut-off score of 5 is recommended when screening for hazardous use, a cut-off of 7 and 9 for harmful use and dependence respectively<sup>16</sup>. The respondents were classified into the following groups: Score "0" as "No alcohol use"; "1-4" as "Normal use"; "5-6" as "Hazardous use"; "7-8" as "Harmful use/Misuse"; "9-40" as "Dependent use"

### 2.6.2 Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)

The PHQ-9 is a self-report questionnaire that consists of 9-items. Participants will rate how they felt in the preceding 2 weeks. Each question is scored 0-3 (0=not at all, 1=several days, 2=more than half the days and 3=nearly every day). The least score is 0 while the highest is 27. The items reflect the DSM- IV criteria for major depressive disorder.

## 2.7 Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was done with the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS version 21). Association between categorical variables (bivariate) was compared using Chi-Square statistics and Pearson correlation between two continuous variables. Differences in means of continuous variables across categorical variables were compared using the Independent Students T-test (categorical variable at two levels), one-way way analysis of variance (Categorical variable with more than two levels). The confidence level for all the tests was set at 95%. A *P-value* of less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

## 2.8 Ethical Approval

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Research Committee of LAUTECH Teaching Hospital, Ogbomoso

### 3.1 Result

A total of 412 questionnaires were retrieved at a 94% response rate. Of the total 412 respondents, two hundred and twenty-seven (55.1%) were female and one hundred and eighty-five (44.9%) were males. Majority (n = 396, 96.1%) of the respondents fell within 18-25 years. The majority (n = 404, 98.1%) of the respondents were single and three hundred and ninety-seven (96.4%) respondents were Yorubas. Four hundred and one (97.3%) respondents engaged in frequent (at least once a week) religious activities, while (0.7%) were not involved in religious activities at all. (Table I)

**Table I: Socio-demographic profile of respondents**

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	185	44.9
Female	227	55.1
Age group (years)		
18 – 25 years	396	96.1
26 – 33 years	16	3.9
Mean Age ± SD	21.6 ± 2.27	
Marital status		
Single	404	98.1
Married	7	1.7
Cohabiting	1	0.2
Religion		
Christianity	310	75.2
Islam	101	24.5
Others	1	0.2
Frequency of religious activities		
Frequent	401	97.3
Infrequent	8	1.9
No activity	3	0.7
Ethnicity <sup>a)</sup>		
Yoruba	397	96.4
Igbo	5	1.2
Hausa	1	0.2
Others	8	1.9

a) Category where number of respondents ≠ 412 indicate missing observation.

Most of the respondents reported that their parents (fathers-75%, mothers-86.2%) do not use psychoactive substances, forty-one respondents (10%) reported having fathers who consumed alcohol only, and 1.7% (n =7) reported having fathers who consumed alcohol and other substances. The majority (n = 401, 97.3%) of respondents had no family history of mental illness, and three respondents (0.7%) reported having family members with history of mental illness. (Table II)

**Table II: Respondents' family background and history related to substance use**

Family set up	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Monogamy	331	80.3
Polygamy	81	19.7
Parental occupation	<b>Father n (%)</b>	<b>Mother n (%)</b>
Armed forces	3 (0.7)	-
Elementary occupation	31 (7.5)	5 (1.2)
Professional/ Managerial	185 (44.9)	165 (40)
Sales and Services	112 (27.2)	228 (55.3)
Skilled Agriculturists	22 (5.3)	3 (0.7)
Technicians	35 (8.5)	1 (0.2)
Retired or late	24 (5.8)	-
Parental substance use <sup>a)</sup>	<b>Father n (%)</b>	<b>Mother n (%)</b>
No psychoactive substances	309 (75)	355 (86.2)
Alcohol only	41 (10)	5 (1.2)
Alcohol and other substances	7 (1.7)	-
Family history of mental illness <sup>a)</sup>	<b>Frequency (n)</b>	<b>Percentage (%)</b>
Yes	3	0.7
No	401	97.3

a) Category where number of respondents  $\neq$  412 indicate missing observation.

The lifetime prevalence of alcohol use in the study population was 31.8%. Seventy-nine respondents (19.2%) used alcohol within the normal range, 13 respondents (3.2%) fell in the harmful use category while the prevalence of hazardous use in the study population was 3.4% (n =14) and 16 respondents (3.9%) had alcohol dependence. The mean age of onset of alcohol use was  $14.49 \pm 4.4$  years. Among drinkers, majority (n=63, 48.1%) drank with friends, thirty-one (23.7%) respondents drank with family members, 6.1% (n = 8) drank alone, a respondent (0.8%) drank in public places and 15.3% (n = 20) adopted other modes of drinking. The majority (n= 82, 62.6%) consumed alcohol along with food, 11.5% used it with other substances, and 6.1% drank on empty stomach while 11.5% engaged in other forms of drinking. The life time frequency of alcohol use by most (n = 87, 66.4%) of the respondents was high ( $\geq$  21 times), the rate of consumption by majority (n =79, 60.3%) in the last 12 months was low, and larger proportion (69.4%) had never drunk alcohol in the past 30 days. (Table III)

**Table III: Prevalence and pattern of alcohol use among alcohol users.**

<b>Current consumption status</b>	<b>Number of respondents (%)</b>
Drinking	131 (31.8)
Not drinking	281 (68.2)
Age at first intake (Mean $\pm$ SD)	14.9 $\pm$ 4.4 years
<b>Pattern of use</b>	
No use	290 (70.4)
Normal use	79 (19.2)
Harmful use	13 (3.2)
Hazardous use	14 (3.4)
Dependents use	16 (3.9)
<b>Drinking Setting <sup>(a)(b)</sup></b>	
Drink Alone	8 (6.1)

Drink With Friends	63 (48.1)
Drink with family members	31 (23.7)
Drink in public places	1 (0.8)
Others	20 (15.3)
<b>Forms of drinking</b> <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	
Drink on empty stomach	8 (6.1)
Drink with food	82 (62.6)
Drink with other substances	16 (12.2)
Others	15 (11.5)
<b>Lifetime frequency of consumption</b>	
Low (1-10) times	17 (13.0)
Moderate (11-20) times	27 (20.6)
High ( $\geq 21$ times)	87 (66.4)
<b>Frequency of consumption in the last 12 months</b>	
Low (1-10) times	79 (60.3)
Moderate (11-20) times	28 (21.4)
High ( $\geq 21$ times)	24 (18.3)
<b>Frequency of consumption in the last 30 days</b>	
Never	91 (69.4)
1-10 times	31 (23.7)
11-20 times	4 (3.1)
At least 21 times	5 (3.8)

- a) Categories where  $N < 131$  indicate missing observations  
b) Multiple entries are possible

The prevalence of problematic alcohol use in the study population was 10.4% ( $n = 43$ ). Problematic alcohol use was found to be significantly associated with gender ( $\chi^2 = 15.6$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P\text{-value} < 0.001$ ) as most ( $n = 32$ , 17.3%) respondents with problematic use of alcohol were males. Problematic alcohol use among respondents was unassociated with each of; age category ( $\chi^2 = 1.94$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P\text{-value} = 0.164$ ), monthly allowance ( $\chi^2 = 0.171$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P\text{-value} = 0.680$ ), family type ( $\chi^2 = 1.066$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P\text{-value} = 0.302$ ), parental history of substance use ( $\chi^2 = 2.538$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P\text{-value} = 0.111$ ) and frequency of religious activities ( $\chi^2 = 0.387$ ,  $df = 2$ ,  $P\text{-value} = 0.824$ ). (Table IV)

**Table IV: Relationship between socio-demographic characteristics and problematic alcohol use among respondents**

Socio-demographic characteristics	Problematic alcohol use	No Problematic alcohol use	Chi square Statistic	df	p-value
Sex					
Male	32 (17.3)	153 (82.7)	15.6	1	* <b>&lt;0.001</b>
Female	11 (4.8)	216 (95.2)			

Age categories					
18-25 years	43 (10.9)	353 (89.1)	1.94	1	0.164
26-33 years	0 (0)	16 (100)			
Monthly income <sup>a)</sup>					
≤ N10,000	12 (11.2)	95 (88.8)	0.171	1	0.680
>N10, 000	2 (8.3)	22 (91.7)			
Family type					
Monogamy	32 (9.7)	299 (90.3)	1.066	1	0.302
Polygamy	11 (13.6)	70 (86.4)			
Parental history of substance use <sup>a)</sup>					
Had history	8 (16.3)	41 (83.7)	2.538	1	0.111
No history	29 (9)	293 (91)			
Frequency of religious activities					
No activity	0 (0)	3 (100)			
Frequent	42 (10.5)	359 (89.5)	0.387	2	0.824
Infrequent	1 (12.5)	7 (87.5)			

\**P*-value < 0.05 indicate significance

a) Categories where no of respondents ≠ 412 indicate missing observations

Approximately half (49.5%) of the respondents were depressed and 50.5% were not depressed.

**Figure 1: Depression among respondents**

Among respondents with depressive symptoms, one hundred and twenty (29.1%) had minor depression and eighty-four (20.4%) had major depression (Figure 2).

**Figure 2: Severity of depression among respondents**

Majority (n = 135, 66.2%) of respondents who were depressed were non-drinkers and larger proportion (n = 186, 91.2%) of respondents in this category had problematic alcohol use. There was no significant association between depression and each of alcohol use ( $\chi^2 = 0.766$ , df = 1, *P-value* = 0.381) and problematic alcohol use ( $\chi^2 = 1.125$ , df = 1, *P-value* = 0.289) in the study population. (Table V)

**Table V: Relationship between depression, alcohol use and problematic alcohol use**

	No Depression	depression	Chi square Statistic	df	p-value
Alcohol use					
Yes n (%)	62 (29.8)	69 (33.8)	0.766	1	0.381
No n (%)	146 (70.2)	135 (66.2)			
Problematic alcohol use					
Yes n (%)	183 (88)	186 (91.2)	1.125	1	0.289
No n (%)	25 (12.0)	18 (8.8)			

#### 4.1 Discussion

The response rate in this study was 94% which is comparable to other similar studies<sup>17,15,18</sup>. This study found greater proportion of the respondents to be females (55.1%) while 44.9% of them were males. This is similar to the findings of a study of psychoactive substance among undergraduates in South-Western Nigeria which reported 54.9% females respondents<sup>19</sup>. Most of the earlier studies carried out among the undergraduate population revealed a preponderance of males compared to females.<sup>15,18</sup>. The preponderance of female students in colleges is more common in Western countries<sup>20</sup>. However, the tide is changing in Nigeria gradually with gradual increase in female undergraduates<sup>21</sup>. Also, a study carried out in one of the departments in the same institution recently revealed more female undergraduate admission in the last few years<sup>22</sup>. This might account for the greater number of females compared to males in this study.

The age of the respondents was between 18 and 32 years. Most of the respondents (96.1%) were between the ages 18 and 24 years. Also, the mean age of the respondents was 21.6 years. This is similar to a study among Nigerian undergraduates that reported 8 in every 10 were between 15 and 24 years<sup>23</sup>. However, another study among undergraduates reported 56.8% of respondents within ages 26 and 30 years while 42.8% of respondents were between the ages 21-25<sup>19</sup>. The mean age reported in this study is similar to the mean age of 21 and 22 years reported in previous studies among undergraduates in South-West and North-Central Nigeria respectively<sup>18,24</sup>. It is noted that the pursuit of higher education in Nigeria tends to start rather early in recent years accounting for younger age at admission. Though this study is a multi-ethnic one, most of the respondents (96.4%) were Yorubas. This is similar to what has been obtained in previous studies among university students in South-West Nigeria<sup>15,18</sup>. This is a reflection of the South West Nigeria being the homeland of the Yorubas.

About 80% of the respondents were from monogamous family. This is comparable to the findings of previous studies carried out among undergraduates. While Abayomi et al<sup>18</sup> reported 77% in the study carried out among undergraduates in southwestern Nigeria, Makanjuola et al<sup>24</sup> reported 79% of respondents in the study carried out among medical students in North-Central Nigeria.

Majority of respondents' fathers were in professional/managerial jobs while more than half of respondents' mothers were into sales/services. This might be due to fathers attaining higher educational status compared to mothers as reported in a study among undergraduates in different institutions of learning in Ogun State, South-West Nigeria<sup>25</sup>.

Ten per cent of the respondents reported that their fathers consumed alcohol only while less (1.2%) reported their mothers drank alcohol. This is comparable to a similar study among undergraduates which reported that 15.5% of respondents' fathers drank alcohol while 2.6% of respondents' mothers drank alcohol<sup>15</sup>.

About 31.8% of respondents in this study had drunk alcohol before, either within the category of normal drinking or those considered to be problematic drinking (harmful, hazardous, and dependent use). This is similar to the values reported in some previous studies among undergraduates such as 34.3% reported in Ogun State<sup>25</sup>. However, the prevalence reported worldwide varies with a value of 68% reported among university students in New Zealand<sup>26</sup>. Also, in a sample of undergraduates in Malawi, 72% was reported to have drunk alcohol before<sup>27</sup>. Similar variance has been found in the prevalence reported in different studies among undergraduates in Nigeria. For example, Makanjuola et al<sup>24</sup> reported 12.5% among

undergraduates in Ilorin while Abayomi et al <sup>18</sup> reported 40.6% among undergraduates in Abeokuta. Also, Adewuya et al <sup>15</sup> reported a higher value of 61.8% among undergraduates in Ife. The wide range might be due to the difference in the methodology and instruments used in the assessment.

Problematic drinking, which includes harmful use, hazardous use and dependent use, in this study was reported to be found in about 10.5% of the respondents. This is similar to the 14.2% and 10% of the respondents reported in studies among university students in South-West Nigeria <sup>18,23</sup>.

The mean age of respondents initiating alcohol use in this study was found to be 14.49 years. This is close to the value reported for Nigerian adolescent and young adults <sup>28</sup> and the value reported in a study among undergraduates in Nigeria <sup>25</sup>. This shows that most students start alcohol use before gaining admission into the university.

This study found that alcohol use was more common among male respondents with about 46.5% of them consuming alcohol compared to 19.8% of female respondents that took alcohol. This is similar to the findings reported in various studies among undergraduates in Nigeria which revealed that more males tend to use alcohol compared to females. Also, studies conducted among university students in western countries have also reported more male students consuming alcohol compared to females <sup>29</sup>. The social and cultural perspective to alcohol consumption in Nigeria differs, which might be responsible for the sex differences in alcohol consumption <sup>28</sup>. Also, there is more societal disapproval to women drinking unlike men who also have traits such as sensation or excitement seeking which is associated with alcohol use <sup>30</sup>.

Majority of those who consume alcohol in this study have parents with history of alcohol use with the association being of significant influence. This is similar to the findings in previous studies carried out among undergraduates. Onofa et al <sup>25</sup> reported that majority of undergraduates who use alcohol had parents with history of alcohol use. Also, Adewuya et al <sup>15</sup> reported in the study among undergraduates that parental drinking was independently associated with alcohol use disorder. This might be due to children imitating parent's drinking pattern and also such children might have access to alcohol early in life.

Problematic alcohol use was found to be significantly associated with male gender of respondents in this study. This is similar to findings in previous studies among undergraduates. A study done among undergraduates in South-West Nigeria reported hazardous drinking to be significantly associated with male students <sup>18</sup>.

About 49.5% of the respondents in this study had depressive symptoms. Findings from previous studies showed wide range of values in the prevalence of depression among undergraduates. A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence among undergraduates reported a range between 10-85% with a weighted average of 30.6% <sup>31</sup>. Some studies reported lower values such as a study conducted among medical students in Nepal that reported 29.9% <sup>32</sup> and another study carried out among Malaysian undergraduates reported 27.5% <sup>33</sup>. However, studies carried among undergraduates in Egypt and India reported higher values of 65% and 51.3% respectively. In Nigeria, a study among medical undergraduates reported prevalence 23.3% <sup>34</sup>.

The wide variation noticed in the prevalence might be due to the instruments used in the assessment of depression; while some studies used screening instruments others used diagnostic instruments. Also,

there's variation in the type of screening or diagnostic instruments used across various studies. Furthermore, variation has been noticed in the prevalence of depression across undergraduate population. For example, prevalence of depression is found to be lower among medical undergraduates compared to non-medical undergraduates<sup>35</sup>. These factors might account for the high prevalence reported in this study. The relationship between depression and alcohol use in this study population was non-linear. There was no significant relationship between depression and alcohol use in this study population.

The relationship between alcohol use and depression was shown by previous studies to be non-linear<sup>36</sup>. Also, another study reported a J shaped relationship, that is, greater depression was found among those who abstained from alcohol compared to those who took between 1 and 5 drinks of alcohol for former drinkers<sup>37</sup>. This relationship is seen for those who meet diagnostic criteria for depression. Furthermore, the relationship between alcohol consumption and depression is more related to larger quantities of alcohol intake per occasion and unrelated to frequency of consumption<sup>38</sup>. However, depression has been related to alcohol-related problems mainly through solitary drinking<sup>39</sup>. The relationship between depression and alcohol use was more significant for females if depression was assessed as meeting the criteria for major depression and alcohol consumption was measured as heavy episodic drinking<sup>37</sup>.

#### **4.2 Conclusion**

The prevalence of depression among university students is worrisome as this study revealed that almost half of the subjects were depressed and an appreciable number of them are major depression. Although there was no conclusive link between depression, alcohol use and problematic drinking in this study yet the rising prevalence of alcohol use among students calls for public concern and prompt interventions as this will not only adversely impact their academic performance but future productivity and the risk of other psychosocial problems.

## References

1. Worley H. Depression a Leading Contributor to Global Burden of Disease. 2006.
2. Boden JM, Foulds JA, Horwood LJ, Alati R, Lawlor DA, Najman JM, et al. Examination of a possible J-shaped relationship between alcohol consumption and internalizing disorders in a longitudinal birth cohort. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2016 May;162:88–91.
3. Adewuya AO, Kessler RC, Crum RM, Warner LA, Nelson CB, Schulenberg J, et al. Prevalence of major depressive disorder in Nigerian college students with alcohol-related problems. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 1997;28(2):169–73.
4. Briones TL, Woods J. Chronic binge-like alcohol consumption in adolescence causes depression-like symptoms possibly mediated by the effects of BDNF on neurogenesis. *Neuroscience*. 2013;254:324–34.
5. Brown SA, Inaba RK, Gillin JC, Schuckit MA, Stewart MA, Irwin MR. Alcoholism and affective disorder: clinical course of depressive symptoms. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1995 Jan;152(1):45–52.
6. Stahl S. *Stahl's Essential Psychopharmacology. Neuroscientific Basis and Practical Applications*. 3rd editio. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008.
7. Fergusson DM, Boden JM, Horwood LJ, M S, MT L, GE V, et al. Tests of Causal Links Between Alcohol Abuse or Dependence and Major Depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2009 Mar 1;66(3):260.
8. Sullivan LE, Fiellin DA, O'Connor PG. The prevalence and impact of alcohol problems in major depression: A systematic review. *The American Journal of Medicine*. 2005 Apr;118(4):330–41.
9. Cerdá M, Sagdeo A, Johnson J, Galea S. Genetic and environmental influences on psychiatric comorbidity: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2010 Oct;126(1–2):14–38.
10. Glantz MD, Elena M, Mora M, Petukhova M, Andrade LH, Anthony JC, et al. Alcohol Abuse in developed and developing Countries in the World Mental Health Surveys : Socially Defined Consequences or Psychiatric Disorder? 2014;(2):145–55.
11. Tackett JL, Lahey BB, van Hulle C, Waldman I, Krueger RF, Rathouz PJ. Common genetic influences on negative emotionality and a general psychopathology factor in childhood and adolescence. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2013 Nov;122(4):1142–53.
12. Kirby T, Barry AE. Alcohol as a Gateway Drug: A Study of US 12th Graders. *Journal of School Health*. 2012;82(8):371–9.
13. About LAUTECH [Internet]. [cited 2017 Feb 2]. Available from: [http://www.lautech.edu.ng/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=50&Itemid=53](http://www.lautech.edu.ng/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=50&Itemid=53)
14. Araoye MO. *Research methodology with statistics for health and social science*. Sample size determination. Nathadex publishers. 2004. 118–21 p.

15. Adewuya AO, Ola BA, Aloba OO, Mapayi BM, Ibigbami OI, Adewumi TA. Alcohol Use Disorders among Nigerian University Students : Prevalence and Sociodemographic Correlates. *Nigerian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2007;5(1):5–9.
16. Adewuya AO. Validation of the alcohol use disorders identification test (audit) as a screening tool for alcohol-related problems among nigerian university students. *Alcohol & Alcoholism*. 2005;40(6):575–7.
17. Nasui BA, Popa M, Popescu CA. Drinking Patterns and Behavioral Consequences: A Cross-Sectional Study among Romanian University Students. *Zdravstveno Varstvo*. 2016;55(1):59–66.
18. Abayomi O, Onifade PO, Adelufosi AO, Akinhanmi AO. Psychosocial correlates of hazardous alcohol use among undergraduates in southwestern Nigeria. *General Hospital Psychiatry*. 2012;10–5.
19. Babalola EO, Ogunwale A, Akinhanmi A. Pattern of psychoactive substance use among university students in South-Western Nigeria. *J Behav Health*. 2013;2(4):334–42.
20. Marcus J. Why Men Are the New Minority in College. *The Atlantic*. 2017 Aug;
21. Fatunde T. NIGERIA: Dramatic increase in female undergraduates. *University World News*. 2010 Sep;
22. Abodunrin JA, Abodunrin JA. Training in Nigerian art schools: a critique of gender distribution. *Harmonia: Journal of Arts Research and Education*. 2017 Dec 24;17(2):105.
23. Ibigbami O. The relationship between personality traits , alcohol use and risky sexual behaviour in an undergraduate student population in south-western Nigeria. 2016.
24. Makanjuola AB, Abiodun OA, Sajo S. Alcohol and psychoactive substance use among medical students of the university of ilorin, Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal*. 2014;10(8):69–83.
25. Onofa L, Adamson T, Ighoroje M, Majekodunmi M. Prevalence and Pattern of Drug Abuse among students of tertiary instiuiton students in. *International Journal of Psychiatry*. 2016;1(1):1–6.
26. Kypri K, Paschall MJ, Langley J, Baxter J, Cashell-Smith M, Bourdeau B. Drinking and alcohol-related harm among new zealand university students: Findings from a national web-based survey. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 2009 Feb;33(2):307–14.
27. Zverev Y. Problem drinking among university students in Malawi. *Collegium Antropologicum*. 2008 Mar;32(1):27–31.
28. Obot IS, Saxena S. Substance Use Among Young People in Urban Environments. Geneva; 2005.
29. El Ansari W, Sebena R, Stock C. Socio-demographic correlates of six indicators of alcohol consumption: Survey findings of students across seven universities in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. *Archives of Public Health*. 2013;71(1):29.
30. Nolen-Hoeksema S. Gender differences in risk factors and consequences for alcohol use and problems. Vol. 24, *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2004. p. 981–1010.

31. Ibrahim AK, Kelly SJ, Adams CE, Glazebrook C. A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence in university students. Vol. 47, *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2013. p. 391–400.
32. Kunwar D, Risal A, Koirala S. Study of Depression, Anxiety and Stress among the Medical Students in two Medical Colleges of Nepal. *Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ)*. 2016;14(53):22–6.
33. Shamsuddin K, Fadzil F, Ismail WSW, Shah SA, Omar K, Muhammad NA, et al. Correlates of depression, anxiety and stress among Malaysian university students. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*. 2013 Aug;6(4):318–23.
34. Aniebue PN, Onyema GO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms among Nigerian medical undergraduates. *Tropical Doctor*. 2008 Jul;38(3):157–8.
35. Bacchi S, Licinio J. Qualitative Literature Review of the Prevalence of Depression in Medical Students Compared to Students in Non-medical Degrees. *Academic Psychiatry*. 2015 Jun 15;39(3):293–9.
36. Rodgers B, Korten AE, Jorm AF, Jacomb PA, Christensen H, Henderson AS. Non-linear relationships in associations of depression and anxiety with alcohol use. *Psychological Medicine*. 2000;30(2):421–32.
37. Graham K, Massak A, Demers A, Rehm J. Does the Association Between Alcohol Consumption and Depression Depend on How They Are Measured? *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 2007 Jan;31(1):78–88.
38. Patten SB, Charney DA. Alcohol consumption and major depression in the Canadian population. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. 1998;43(5):502–6.
39. Keough MT, O'Connor RM, Sherry SB, Stewart SH. Context counts: Solitary drinking explains the association between depressive symptoms and alcohol-related problems in undergraduates. *Addictive Behaviors*. 2015 Mar;42:216–21.