

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91394
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Physico-Chemical Properties as Influenced by Combined Use of NPK and Zinc at Varying Levels under Blackgram (Vigna mungo L.) Cultivation in an Inceptisol of Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Instead of using "NPK" in the title, use a term such as "conventional fertilization". Do not use an abbreviation unless you denote what it means first. Do not use a grandiose tone in the introduction (first sentence, first paragraph). Stick to facts and reason. Use the entire taxonomic classification for the soil used in the study. Explain what "pulse" is before using the term throughout the paper. Include a justification and rationale for further research at the end of the conclusion. Include more discussion of the results. May need to reformat the tables according to which journal you're submitting the article.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Grammatical issues throughout the paper need to be addressed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This paper flows well and is constructed according to scientific paper guidelines. With a few edits, this should be ready for publication.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	James Burke
Department, University & Country	University of Arkansas, United States of America