

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91312
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of TA41 on growth, yield and quality of brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L) cv Kashi Sandesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. References: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Is not fully written alphabetically. b. Some authors can be found on the list but not in paper (eg. Barakart and Gabr, Calvo). Conversely, some others can be found in paper but not on the list (eg. Gargi and Yadav, Gomez Cadenas <i>et al</i>). <p>Check and recheck the references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title The title is 'Effects of TA41 on growth, yield and quality of brinjal', but the conclusion also mentioned that T6 proved as the best combination for the improvement of brinjal cultivation. The title and the conclusion should be consistent. 2. Introduction Data stated "India will require about 160 m tons of vegetable annually by the year 2020" is not an up-to-date data since this is year 2022. Please update it with recent data or projection data beyond 2022. 3. Results and Discussion It was stated that the experiment was carried out in Nov 2021 to April 2021. Fix it. 4. Some authors such as Contreras-Cornejo <i>et al</i>, Pohl and Gargi and Yadav were cited without years of publications. Fix it. 	
Optional/General comments	After some revisions, the paper is good to be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iman Santosa
Department, University & Country	Indonesian Environmental Scientists Association (IESA), Indonesia