

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91055
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical characteristics of different banana genotypes in Nagaland
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article entitled "Physical-chemical characteristics of different banana genotypes in Nagaland, has as main objective, to evaluate the physical-chemical characteristics of 10 banana genotypes selected from Nagaland. In this sense, the present work, according to its results, showed evidence of great variation between the different banana genotypes in their physicochemical characteristics. Where the maximum total soluble solids, acidity, total sugar and sugar reduction were found in Bhootmanohar, Chinichampa, Grand Naine and Meiteihei, respectively. The highest proportion of TSS/Acid, crude protein and total carotene were obtained in Bhootmanohar, Grand Naine and Bharatmani, respectively. Cluster analysis of the mean of different physicochemical characteristics revealed two large clusters and one outgroup; Thus demonstrating the great importance of this study, in the identification of the physicochemical characteristics of the evaluated cultivars, identifying those of greater market acceptability according to their characteristics. As a final analysis, I am in favor of its publication.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antônio Vitor Machado
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal Rural Do Semi-Árido , Brasil