

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90980
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS FOR QUANTITATIVE TRAITS IN COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author's attempt to write an article is appreciated. But every section needs to improve. i) Introduction-All the key words should describe in brief, keeping in light of the objectives of the report with clear ending. ii) In materials and methods, better to elaborate the methods/formula(s) for better understanding to readers. iii) Result and discussion-in this section, writeup is okay, table is well organized but basic graphical(path diagram) partitioning of traits contributing to dependent variable is important for explanation of path. For this regard author may follow- Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis in Rainfed Mung Bean Genotypes [<i>Vigna radiata</i> (L.) Wilczek]; Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis for Some Yield-Related Traits in Rice Genotypes (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) etc.	
Minor REVISION comments	Latest references can be considered.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ratan Kumar Ganapati
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Sugarcrop Research Institute, Bangladesh