

GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CHARACTER ASSOCIATION of RICE
(*Oryza sativa* L.) FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN RICE (*Oryza*
***sativa* L.)**

ABSTRACT

The present study consists of 21 rice genotypes including one check variety that were evaluated in ~~Harifall~~, 2021 to study genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient for thirteen quantitative characters. Observation recorded to study the genetic variability parameters, correlation coefficient and path coefficient for yield and its attributing traits. On the basis of mean performance MTU-1224 was found to be superior in grain yield per hill followed by BPT-329, KNM-118 and MTU-1001 ~~to showed give~~ higher yield over the check (NDR 359). High to moderate estimates of GCV and PCV were recorded for grain yield per plant followed by, harvest index, test weight, biological yield, number of ~~spikelet's~~ spikelet per panicle, flag leaf width and number of total tillers. Grain yield indicated significant positive correlation with biological yield followed by harvest index and number of ~~spikelet's~~ spikelets per panicle in terms of both phenotypic and genotypic level. Path coefficient analysis showed positive significant direct effects on number of spikelet's per panicle, number of productive tillers, harvest index, test weight, panicle length, days to fifty percent flowering, flag leaf length and biological yield at genotypic level whereas it showed positive and significant direct effect for harvest index, biological yield, test weight, flag leaf width, number of productive tillers, number of spikelet's per panicle and day to maturity at phenotypic level. Thus, these traits are identified as the efficient and potential for indirect selection for the improvement of rice productivity in the present experimental materials.

KEYWORDS: Rice, Genetic variability, Heritability, Correlation, Path coefficient analysis

Commented [MF1]: GCV and PCV should be written in detail first

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) occupies a crucial position in the ancient and modern Indian agriculture as well as in the world as it feeds and fulfills the nutritional requirements of 1/3rd of the world's population. Rice is also called as the "Grain of Life", because it is not only the staple food for more than 70 percent of the Indians but also a source of livelihood for about 120-150 million rural households. In order to develop high yielding varieties it is unavoidable for the rice breeders to understand how the grain quality traits are being inherited from their parents to offsprings. Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are generally helpful in predicting the gain under selection than heritability (broad sense) estimates alone (Shreya *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, estimates of GCV, PCV, heritability and genetic advance will play an important role in exploiting future research projections of rice improvement. Grain yield is a complex character, which depends on its several components. These components are further dependent for their expression on several morphological traits, which interrelated with each other showing a complex chain of relationship and also highly influenced by the environmental conditions

(Dhurai *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, the parents selected for the breeding programmes aimed at increased grain yield should possess wide range of genetic variation for the characters.

Material and Methods

The present experiment was carried out at the ~~Field-field~~ ~~Experimentation-experimentation~~ ~~Centre-centre~~ of the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding. The site is located at 25.28 N latitude, 81.54 E longitude and 98 meter above the sea level. It comes under sub-tropical and semi-arid climate. Lies 102 m above sea level. The average annual rainfall is 1042 mm. The present study consists of 20 rice lines along with a check (NDR 359) which were collected from Department of Genetic and Plant breeding, SHUATS were grown in ~~harif~~ ~~fall~~ 2021. The experiment was laid in a randomized block complete design (RCBD) with 21 lines in three replications.

Chart 1: List of 20 rice genotypes along with a national check

S. No	GENOTYPES	S. No	GENOTYPES
1	NP 124 8	12	DHAN 50
2	RNR 15048	13	RP BIO 226
3	MTU 1001	14	ANKUR POOJA
4	MTU 1010	15	BPT 5204
5	MTU 1064	16	KNM 168
6	MTU 1224	17	BPT 3291
7	PLA 1100	18	NP 9558
8	KNM 118	19	KPH 376
9	KPH 370	20	SIDHASANNALU
10	DHAN 42	21	NDR 359 (CHECK)
11	DHAN 44		

Total thirteen quantitative traits ~~has~~ ~~have~~ been recorded viz., days to fifty percent flowering, days to maturity, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, plant height, number of total tillers, panicle length,

Commented [MF2]: The objectives of the current study should be stated here not the aim. As many other investigations might have same aims but with different objectives.

Commented [MF3]: Which institute or University?

Commented [MF4]: What about the pedigree of the studied genotypes and where have been received?

Commented [MF5]: How you know they are quantitative? As days to fifty percent flowering, days to maturity, flag leaf length might be qualitatively inherited

number of spikelets per panicle, number of productive tillers, test weight, biological yield, harvest index and grain yield per plant.

Firstly measure of variability is figured with the aid of Coefficient of variation, which is the ratio of standard deviation of a sample to its mean and expressed in percentage. In the present investigation two types of coefficient of variations were estimated viz., phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV).

Coefficient of variation (CV): It is the measure of variability evolved. Coefficient of variation is the ratio of standard deviation of a sample to its mean and expressed in percentage.

$$CV (\%) = \text{Standard deviation} / \text{Mean} \times 100$$

The formulae used to calculate PCV and GCV were stated by (Burton, 1952)

$$PCV (\%) = \frac{\text{Phenotypic standard deviation}}{\text{Grand mean}} \times 100$$

$$GCV (\%) = \frac{\text{Genotypic standard deviation}}{\text{Grand mean}} \times 100$$

Heritability calculated by the formula given by Lush (1949) and Burton and Devane (1953). Correlation Coefficient was calculated according to the formula suggested by Miller *et al* (1958). Path coefficient analysis is normally to measures the direct and indirect effects of independent variables on the dependent variables. This technique was firstly used by Dewey and Lu (1959).

Results and Discussion

1. Genetic Variability

1.1 Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV)

High estimates of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were recorded for of grain yield per plant (24.65), harvest index (22.30), test weight (21.58), biological yield per plant (19.94) and flag leaf width (17.85).

1.2 Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV)

High estimates of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was recorded for grain yield per plant (26.76), harvest index (23.20), number of spikelet per plant (22.33), biological yield (21.81), test weight (21.60) and number of total tillers (18.74).

The large difference between the GCV and PCV indicate high environmental influence on the expression of particular traits. Relatively low differences were observed between genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation for test weight (21.58, 21.60).

1.3 Heritability

Commented [MF6]: How it was estimated? Nothing stated here about it

Commented [MF7]: How it was estimated? Nothing stated here about it

Heritability (broad sense) estimated for the thirteen quantitative characters were ranged from number of days to maturity (55.38) to test weight (99.77). Heritability estimates were high for test weight (g) (99.77), plant height (cm) (96.38), harvest index (92.40) flag leaf width (cm) (92.21), grain yield per plant (84.41), biological yield (83.51), number of productive tillers (73.40), number of spikelet's per panicle (72.29) and panicle length (cm) (72.02). While it was moderate for days to 50% flowering (57.03) and days to maturity (55.38).

1.4 Genetic advance

High genetic advance was recorded for number of spikelet's per panicle (70.47) followed by plant height (cm) (32.35). While moderate genetic advance was recorded for biological yield (24.07), harvest index (23.31), days to maturity (16.92) and grain yield per plant (15.56). Low genetic advance was recorded flag leaf width (0.43), number of productive tillers (3.02), number of total tillers (3.15) and panicle length (4.06).

Similar results were reported by **Saha et al. (2019)** for number of grains per panicle; **Nithya et al. (2020)** for grain yield per plant and productive tillers per plant; **Umarani et al. (2017)** and **Sundaram et al. (2019)** for zinc and iron content; **Sandeep et al. (2018)** for panicle length; **Amegan et al. (2020)** for 1000 grain weight; **Maurya et al. (2019)** and **Supriya et al. (2017)** for L/B ratio and **Singh et al. (2020)** and **Sangamithra et al. (2018)** for protein content.

2. Correlation

Genotypic correlation for seed yield per plant showed highly significant and positive association with harvest index (0.909**), biological yield (0.805**), 100 seed weight (0.255**), number of pod clusters (0.796**), number of pods per plant (0.797**), number of primary branches (0.521**). It also showed negative but non-significant association with days to fifty percent flowering (-0.0531), days to maturity (-0.1215), plant height (-0.1588), number of pods per cluster (-0.1466), number of seeds per pod (-0.1407). Seed yield per plant showed significant and positive association with harvest index (0.812**) followed by biological yield (0.721**), number of pods per plant (0.867**), 100 seed weight (0.352*), number of primary branches (0.534*). It also showed negative and highly significant association with days to pod length (-0.485**).negative but non-significant with days to fifty percent flowering (-0.1177), number of seeds per pod (-0.1475), days to maturity (-0.1513). Both the genotypic and phenotypic values are clearly illustrated in Table 1 and 2.

Preliminary studies also revealed the similar results were found in **Mani et al. (2018)** and **Maurya et al. (2018)** studies, that found significant positive correlation between seed yield and

Commented [MF8]: Instead, you would have a Biplot diagram on the correlation of the genotypes studied based on the traits. Following Principal component analysis

harvest index, similarly **Bagheri et al. (2011)** found significant positive correlation between seed yield and biological yield.

Table 1: Genotypic correlation among the different traits evaluated in rice during Kharifall, 2021

	DTF	DM	PH	FLL	FLW	NTT	NPT	PL	BY	HI	NSP	TW	GYP	
DTF	1	0.573**	0.2321	0.1995	0.426**	-0.224	-0.2132	0.0745	0.683**	-	0.757**	-	0.1359	
DM		1	0.250*	0.1915	0.434**	-0.424**	-0.296*	0.385*	0.398*	-	0.522**	0.386*	-0.1306	-0.1565
PH			1	0.583**	0.2424	-	-	0.519**	0.134	-	0.193	0.1013	-	0.450**
FLL				1	0.2185	-0.290*	-0.267*	0.176	0.0627	-0.256*	-0.0219	0.1057	-0.249*	
FLW					1	-0.1164	-0.1892	0.523**	0.1921	-	0.280*	0.1093	-0.2428	
NTT						1	0.929**	-0.1664	-0.248*	0.2441	0.0082	0.1615	0.0537	
NPT							1	-0.284*	-0.1802	0.251*	0.0423	0.0395	0.1203	
PL								1	-0.1488	-	-0.0495	0.1599	-	0.601**
BY									1	-0.379*	0.781**	-0.2206	0.419**	
HI										1	-0.351*	0.1374	0.670**	
NSP											1	-	0.289*	0.484**
TW												1	0.0001	
GYP														1.00

* and ** Significant at P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively

DTF: Days to 50% flowering, DM: Days to maturity, PH: Plant height (cm), FLL: Flag Leaf Length, FLW: Flag Leaf Width, NTT: No. of Total Tillers, NPT: No. of Productive Tillers, PL: Panicle length, BY: Biological Yield (g), HI: Harvest index (%), NSP: No. of spikelet per panicle, TW: Test Weight (g), GYP: Grain yield per plant (g)

Table 2: Phenotypic correlation among the different traits evaluated in rice during Kharifall, 2021

	DTF	DM	PH	FLL	FLW	NTT	NPT	PL	BY	HI	NSP	TW	GYP
DTF	1	0.550**	0.2184	0.1492	0.401*	-0.150	-0.1434	0.0669	0.613**	-0.384*	0.673**	-0.402*	0.1317
DM		1	0.2451	0.1715	0.408**	-0.36*	-0.261*	0.325*	0.357*	-0.491**	0.310*	-0.1315	-0.1411
PH			1	0.530**	0.232	-0.35*	-0.401*	0.416**	0.1311	-0.494**	0.1649	0.0985	-0.403*
FLL				1	0.1696	-0.25*	-0.252*	0.1347	0.0229	-0.2248	-0.0394	0.1006	-0.2428
FLW					1	-0.05	-0.1184	0.442**	0.1665	-0.430**	0.2442	0.1089	-0.2134
NTT						1	0.929**	-0.1876	-0.0818	0.2455	0.1128	0.1359	0.1744
NPT							1	-0.279*	-0.0425	0.255*	0.1192	0.035	0.2182
PL								1	-0.1534	-0.425**	0.0374	0.133	-0.515**
BY									1	-0.351*	0.672**	-0.2026	0.482**
HI										1	-0.268*	0.1306	0.636**
NSP											1	-0.411**	0.292*
TW												1	-0.0016
GYP													1.00

* and ** Significant at P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively

DTF: Days to 50% flowering, DM: Days to maturity, PH: Plant height (cm), FLL: Flag Leaf Length, FLW: Flag Leaf Width, NTT: No. of Total Tillers, NPT: No. of Productive Tillers, PL: Panicle length, BY: Biological Yield (g), HI: Harvest index (%), NSP: No. of spikelet per panicle, TW: Test Weight (g), GYP: Grain yield per plant (g)

3. Path analysis

Path analysis in particular having the direct effect of seed yield on characters such as harvest index followed by biological yield and number of ~~spikelet~~ spikelet per panicle in descending order.

Phenotypic path coefficient analysis indicated that, the traits having direct effects on grain yield are understood to be strongly associated with it. The path analysis results showed that

Commented [MF9]: Nothing stated here or in any other parts of the paper about the reason and the importance of path analysis.

positive and direct on grain yield were exhibited by harvest index, biological yield, test weight and flag leaf width. Phenotypic path coefficient analysis indicated that, the traits having direct effects on grain yield are understood to be strongly associated with it. The genotypic path analysis results showed that positive and direct on grain yield were exhibited by number of spikelet's per panicle, number of productive tillers, harvest index and panicle length.

Table 3: Genotypic direct (in bold) and indirect effects of thirteen traits on seed yield in rice evaluated in *Kharif* fall, 2021

	DTF	DM	PH	NPB	NPP	NPC	NCP	PL	NSP	100SW	HI	BYP	SYP
DTF	0.2338	0.1341	0.0543	0.0467	0.0996	-0.0525	-0.0499	0.0174	0.1598	-0.0977	0.1771	-0.0976	0.1359
DM	-0.2436	-0.4249	-0.1063	-0.0814	-0.1842	0.1803	0.1258	-0.1635	-0.1693	0.2216	-0.1641	0.0555	-0.1565
PH	-0.1453	-0.1566	-0.6261	-0.3648	-0.1518	0.2694	0.296	-0.3246	-0.0839	0.3231	-0.1209	-0.0634	-0.450**
FLL	0.0225	0.0216	0.0658	0.1129	0.0247	-0.0327	-0.0301	0.0199	0.0071	-0.0289	-0.0025	0.0119	-0.249*
FLW	-0.0804	-0.0818	-0.0457	-0.0412	-0.1886	0.0219	0.0357	-0.0986	-0.0362	0.0883	-0.0528	-0.0206	-0.2428
NTT	0.2699	0.5099	0.5171	0.3479	0.1398	-0.702	-0.824	0.1999	0.2981	-0.2933	-0.0099	-0.194	0.0537
NPT	-0.1537	-0.2134	-0.3407	-0.1922	-0.1364	0.6693	0.7207	-0.2047	-0.1298	0.181	0.0305	0.0284	0.1203
PL	0.0195	0.1008	0.1359	0.0461	0.1371	-0.0436	-0.0744	0.2621	-0.039	-0.1331	-0.013	0.0419	-0.601**
BY	0.0732	0.0427	0.0144	0.0067	0.0206	-0.0266	-0.0193	-0.0159	0.1071	-0.0406	0.0836	-0.0236	0.419**
HI	-0.2835	-0.354	-0.3503	-0.1736	-0.3179	0.1657	0.1705	-0.3446	-0.2573	0.6788	-0.238	0.0933	0.670**
NSP	0.6731	0.3433	0.1716	-0.0195	0.249	0.0073	0.0376	-0.044	0.6944	-0.3118	0.8889	-0.4301	0.289*
TW	-0.2498	-0.0781	0.0606	0.0633	0.0654	0.0967	0.0236	0.0957	-0.1321	0.0822	-0.2896	0.5985	0.0001

* and ** Significant at P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively

DTF: Days to 50% flowering, DM: Days to maturity, PH: Plant height (cm), FLL: Flag Leaf Length, FLW: Flag Leaf Width, NTT: No. of Total Tillers, NPT: No. of Productive Tillers, PL: Panicle length, BY: Biological Yield (g), HI: Harvest index (%), NSP: No. of spikelet per panicle, TW: Test Weight (g), GYP: Grain yield per plant (g)




Fig 1: Genotypic Path Coefficient

Table 4: Phenotypic direct (in bold) and indirect effects of thirteen traits on seed yield in rice evaluated in *Kharif*, 2021

	DTF	DM	PH	NPB	NPP	NPC	NCP	PL	NSP	100SW	HI	BYP	SYP
DTF	-0.0177	-0.0097	-0.0039	-0.0026	-0.0071	0.0027	0.0025	-0.0012	-0.0109	0.0068	-0.0119	0.0071	0.1317
DM	0.0103	0.0187	0.0046	0.0032	0.0077	-0.0069	-0.0049	0.0061	0.0067	-0.0092	0.0058	-0.0025	-0.1411
PH	-0.0143	-0.0161	-0.0655	-0.0347	-0.0152	0.0236	0.0263	-0.0273	-0.0086	0.0324	-0.0108	-0.0065	-0.403*
FLL	-0.0066	-0.0076	-0.0235	-0.0443	-0.0075	0.0115	0.0112	-0.006	-0.001	0.0099	0.0017	-0.0045	-0.2428
FLW	0.0229	0.0233	0.0132	0.0097	0.0571	-0.0033	-0.0068	0.0252	0.0095	-0.0245	0.0139	0.0062	-0.2134
NTT	0.0108	0.0263	0.0259	0.0186	0.0042	-0.0719	-0.0668	0.0135	0.0059	-0.0177	-0.0081	-0.0098	0.1744
NPT	-0.0071	-0.0129	-0.0198	-0.0124	-0.0058	0.0458	0.0493	-0.0137	-0.0021	0.0126	0.0059	0.0017	0.2182
PL	-0.0016	-0.0078	-0.01	-0.0032	-0.0106	0.0045	0.0067	-0.024	0.0037	0.0102	-0.0009	-0.0032	-0.515**
BY	0.4768	0.2774	0.1019	0.0178	0.1295	-0.0636	-0.0331	-0.1193	0.7774	-0.2725	0.5222	-0.1575	0.482**
HI	-0.3418	-0.4365	-0.4394	-0.2	-0.3826	0.2184	0.2266	-0.3784	-0.3118	0.8897	-0.2382	0.1162	0.636**
NSP	0.027	0.0125	0.0066	-0.0016	0.0098	0.0045	0.0048	0.0015	0.027	-0.0108	0.0402	-0.0165	0.292*
TW	-0.0271	-0.0089	0.0066	0.0068	0.0073	0.0092	0.0024	0.009	-0.0137	0.0088	-0.0277	0.0675	-0.0016

* and ** Significant at P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively

DTF: Days to 50% flowering, DM: Days to maturity, PH: Plant height (cm), FLL: Flag Leaf Length, FLW: Flag Leaf Width, NTT: No. of Total Tillers, NPT: No. of Productive Tillers, PL: Panicle length, BY: Biological Yield (g), HI: Harvest index (%), NSP: No. of spikelet per panicle, TW: Test Weight (g), GYP: Grain yield per plant (g)




Fig 2: Phenotypic Path Coefficient

References

- Amegan, E, Efişuc, A. Akoroda, M, Shittu, A. and Toncgnikcs, F. (2020). Genetic Diversity of Korean Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Germplasm for Yield and Yield Related Traits for Adoption in Rice Farming System in Nigeria. *International Journal of Genetics and Genomics*, 8(1): 19-28.
- Bagheri, N, Babamaian-Jelodar, N. and Pasha, A. (2011). Path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in diverse rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Biharean biologist*, 5(1): 32-35.
- Directorate of Economics And Statistics, (2018-19). Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare (DAC&FW), Govt. of India; IIIrd Advance Estimate
- Dhurai, S. Y., Reddy, D. M. and Ravi, S. (2016). Correlation and path analysis for yield and quality characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Rice Genomics and Genetics*, 7.
- Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. (1959). A correlation and path coefficient analysis of component of seed production. *Agro. J.*, 51: 515-518.
- Donald, C.M. and Hamblin, J. (1976). The biological yield and harvest index of cereals as agronomic and plant breeding criteria. *Adv. Agron.*, 28: 61-65.

- Fisher, R. A. (1936).** The Use of Multiple Measurement in taxonomic problem. *Annals of Eugenics*, 7(2): 179-188.
- Lush, J. L. (1949).** Heritability of qualitative traits in farm animals. Proceedings of eight international congresses genetic. *Heidos (Suppl.)* 336-357.
- Mani, B. R., Kumar, B. M. (2018).** Morphological characterization of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces of the hilly zone of Karnataka. *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*. 31(3): 328- 331.
- Nithya, N., Beena, R., Stephen, R., Abida, P. S., Jayalakshmi, V. G., Viji, M. M. (2020).** Genetic variability, heritability, correlation coefficient and path analysis of morpho physiological and yield related traits of rice under drought stress. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, 9(33): 48-54.
- Robinson, H. F., Comstock, R. E. and Harvey, P. H. (1951).** Genotypic & phenotypic correlation in maize and their implications in selection. *Agr. Jou.*, 43: 282-287.
- Saha, S. R., Hassan, L., Haque, A., Islam, M. M. and Rascl, M. (2019).** Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analysis of yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 17(1): 26-32.
- Sandeep, S., Sujatha, M., Subbarao, L. V and Nccraja, C. N. (2018).** Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance Studies in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, 7(12): 3719-3727.
- Sundaram, K. M., Rajeswari, S., Saraswathi, R. and Jayaprakash, P. (2019).** Genetic variability studies for yield and its Components and quality traits with high iron and zinc content in segregating population of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7(3): 800-805.
- Shreya, D., Deepak, G., Sarawgi, A. K. and Abhinav, S. (2021).** Genetic variability studies for yield and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 10(10): 1057-1059. Burton, G. W., and Devane, D. E. (1953). Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agr. Jour.*, 45(10): 478-481.
- Maurya, B. K., Chand, P., Tomar, P., Kumar, S., Singh, R. S. S., Singh G. (2019).** Studies on variability and genetic parameters for yield and its contributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4): 2002-2005.
- Supriya, Anurag, S. and Jaiswal, H. K. (2017).** Genetic variability for quality, yield and yield related parameters in basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *AGRES An International Journal.*, 6(1) :63-70.

Sanghamitra, P., Sah, R. P., Bagchi, T. B., Sharma, S. G., Kumar, A. and Munda, S. (2018).

Evaluation of variability and environmental stability of grain quality and agronomic parameters of pigmented rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of food science and technology*, **55**(3): 879-890.

Umarani, E, Radhika, K., Padma, V., Subbarao, L. V. (2017). Variability, heritability and

genetic advance for agro-morphological and grain quality parameters in landraces of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Environment and Ecology*, **35**(3): 1682-1687.

UNDER PEER REVIEW