

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90959
Title of the Manuscript:	GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CHARACTER ASSOCIATION FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN RICE ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijps.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Title is corrected to be more fitting the research work Abstract has some grammatically mistakes and they are suggested in the manuscript. Introduction is not accurate and need re writing as suggested in the manuscript Materials and Methods: 1-the pedigree of the studied genotypes is required in addition to the source of received germplasm Nothing is stated about how phenotypic and Genotypic standard deviation are estimated. This has to be stated clearly In addition to other comments stated on the manuscript Results and Discussion: In addition to correlation analysis in the table, it would be much better to have a Biplot diagram on the correlation of the genotypes studied based on the traits. Following Principal component analysis. In path coefficient analysis: Nothing stated here or in any other parts of the paper about the reason and the importance of path analysis. In general, the discussion is weak and need more discussion as comparison of the current study results with other is not sufficient for typical discussion	
Minor REVISION comments	However, the work is acceptable but the writing style of each section is weak and need to be improved	
Optional/General comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nariman Salih Ahmad
Department, University & Country	University of Sulaimani, Iraq