

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90871
Title of the Manuscript:	“Comparative efficacy of botanicals and chemicals against chickpea pod borer, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner) on chickpea”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	You should addition the biology of chickpea pod borer in the introduction The result should have more discussion Such as why the lowest larval population of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> on Chickpea was recorded in Spinosad 45SC followed by Flubendiamide 480 SC, Emamectin Benzoate 5SG, Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC and Profenophos 50EC.?	
Minor REVISION comments	Discuss why The highest yield was recorded in Spinosad 45SC (24.3 q/ha) followed by Flubendiamide 480SC (23.1 q/ha), Emamectin benzoate 5SG (21.5 q/ha)?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Arief Hasan
Department, University & Country	Jerash University, Jordan