

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90861
Title of the Manuscript:	NPK UPTAKE OF DRIP IRRIGATION REGIMES AND NK FERTIGATION LEVELS FOR CUCUMBER (<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.) UNDER NATURALLY VENTILATED POLY HOUSE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The physico-chemical characteristic of soil and climatic situation during the experimentation of the experimental site is missing.</p> <p>Term 'drip fertigation' is frequently used in the manuscript which can be replaced by 'fertigation' only.</p> <p>Reasons are not cited with proper references.</p> <p>Discussion of N P K Uptake of crop needs to be improved citing recent research papers.</p> <p>Nitrogen content, phosphorous content and potassium content of cucumber at different days after sowing was not written in the manuscript.</p> <p>Post - harvest soil nutrient status of cucumber needs to be focused in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Keyword may be corrected as variety term is not used frequently in the abstract.</p> <p>The author used abbreviation Epan in the manuscript which is wrong. It can be used either as CP or CPE.</p> <p>Op stat software used for analysis, reference is not cited for Sheron <i>et al.</i></p> <p>Units are mentioned in the subtitles for characters under Results and Discussion which is not necessary</p> <p>Minor grammatical errors reported in the Results and Discussion.</p> <p>Author can rewrite the results writing the data of each characters reported during 15, 30, 45, in plant, fruit and total uptake at 60 DAS, 75 DAS and at harvest.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikas Ramteke
Department, University & Country	Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, India