

YIELD POTENTIALITY AND ECONOMIC PROFITABILITY AS INFLUENCED BY CONJOINT APPLICATION OF SPENT MUSHROOM COMPOST, BIOFERTILIZERS AND MONO POTASSIUM PHOSPHATE (MKP) IN MARIGOLD

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out under open field conditions during the year 2018-19 to ascertain the influence of spent mushroom compost, biofertilizers and foliar application of water soluble fertilizer, Mono Potassium Phosphate (MKP) on flower yield and economics of French marigold 'Local'. The results revealed that the overall cost of cultivation as well as the economics of marigold flower production was significantly influenced by various Integrated nutrient management (INM) schedules. French marigold 'Local' plants supplied with 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34) produced maximum yield of saleable flowers (25000 Kg/ha) followed by 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (23000 Kg/ha) which was significantly superior over the 100 % RDF recording 20000 Kg/ha flower yield. Both the above mentioned treatments also recorded maximum net returns of Rs.339171.60/ha. The highest benefit : cost (B: C) ratio of 2.81 was recorded with the treatment 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP.

Keywords: French marigold, INM, water soluble fertilizers, biofertilizers, spent mushroom compost, economics

1. INTRODUCTION

Marigold (*Tagetes spp.*), a member of the family Asteraceae is one of the most popular and commercial loose flower crop of Jammu. It is popularly known as 'Gainda'. Huge demand of this flower during various festive occasions attracts the attention of flower growers in the country as well as of state. Popularly known as the city of temples, Jammu region has witnessed a huge demand of marigold flowers for garland making, offering in temples and other decorative purposes. The production of flowers in Jammu alone cannot meet the ever increasing demand and flowers worth several lakhs need to be procured from neighbouring states. The varied agro-climatic conditions of the state are highly suitable for the cultivation of marigold. Consequently, cultivation of this flower is gaining popularity in the region. To fulfill the demand, it is necessary to increase its production through improved production technologies. The excessive use of chemical fertilizers could result in to poor health of the soil with respect to physical, chemical and biological properties (Patel *et al.* 2009, Singh and Singh 2009), nutrient imbalances and ultimately poor fertilizer use efficiency. Therefore, modern nutrient management strategy was developed which aimed towards the concept of sustainability and eco-friendliness. Integrated nutrient management maintains the soil fertility and plant nutrient supply to an optimum level for sustaining the desired crop production through optimization of benefits from all possible sources of plant nutrients (Chaudhary *et al.* 2004, Gruhn *et al.* 2005, Afagh *et al.* 2019).

Keeping in view the importance of crop and the present demand of quality flower, the investigations was carried out with the view to optimize a suitable Integrated Nutrient

Management schedule for enhancing economic profitability of French marigold in Jammu region.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Location and Site:

The experimental field of Division of Vegetable Science and Floriculture, SKUAST, Jammu is situated at 32° 40'N latitude and 74° 58' E longitude and has an elevation of 332 m above mean sea level. Agro climatically the location represents Zone V of Jammu and Kashmir and is characterized by subtropical climate. The place experiences hot dry summer, hot and humid rainy season and cold winter months, the maximum temperature goes up to 45°C during summers (May to June) and the minimum temperature falls to 1°C during winters. A basic study of the soil of the experimental field was conducted before starting the experiment. The physio-chemical characteristics of soil samples taken revealed sandy loam textural class with Available N (232.54kg/ha), Available P₂O₅ (27.71kg/ha), Available K₂O (225.81kg/ha), EC (0.35dS/m) and Organic carbon content of 0.38%.

Treatment Details:

A randomized block design was used to evaluate the effect of twenty three different nutritional treatments replicated thrice viz., T₁ = Farmers practice (i.e. no fertilizer application); T₂ = Control (Recommended dose fertilizer 200 kg N, 100 kg P₂O₅ and 100 kg K₂O/ha); T₃ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²); T₄ = 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers; T₅ = 75 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₆ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers; T₇ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₈ = 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₉ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₀ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²); T₁₁ = 50 % RDF + Biofertilizers; T₁₂ = 50 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₃ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers; T₁₄ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₅ = 50 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₆ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₇ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²); T₁₈ = 25 % RDF + Biofertilizers; T₁₉ = 25 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₂₀ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers; T₂₁ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₂₂ = 25 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34) and T₂₃ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34).)

Field layout / Planting procedure and treatment application:

Seedlings of marigold were transplanted in the experimental plots at a spacing of 40 cm x 40 cm thereby accommodating 21 seedlings per bed size of 2.80 m x 1.20 m. Biofertilizers viz. *Azotobacter chroococcum* and phosphorous solubilizing micro-organisms (*Bacillus polymyxa* + *Pseudomonas striata*) were applied by dipping the roots of marigold seedlings into a slurry of 200 g of the inocula dissolved in one litre of 10 % sugar solution at the time of planting. Foliar spray of 1% MKP (Mono potassium phosphate; 00:52:34 water soluble fertilizer) was given twice during the experiment. First application was given at 30 days after transplanting and second application at 60 days after transplanting (DAT).

One year old spent mushroom compost from which the crop of button mushroom has been harvested was procured from Mushroom Research and Training Centre, Division of Plant Pathology, SKUAST-Jammu. Spent mushroom compost before incorporation into the field was treated with 4% formalin and kept covered with polythene for 48 hours. After 48 hours the cover was removed and the spent mushroom compost was turned upside down frequently so as to release the fumes of formalin. Once the spent mushroom compost becomes free of formalin fumes, it was incorporated into the plots. Irrigations were given as and when required during crop growth. Pinching was done after 35 days of transplanting. The experimental plots were kept clean by regular hand weeding. No insect pest and disease incidence was observed during the experiment. Data on various parameters were recorded and statistically analyzed by applying the technique of analysis of variance using Randomized Block Design (Gomez and Gomez 1985). The level of significance for t-test was kept at 5% ($P=0.05$).

Calculation of economics:

The yield of loose flowers was calculated for one hectare area and expressed in Kilograms. The economics of the individual treatment was calculated based on the total cost of cultivation and gross income. The expenditures both recurring and non recurring incurred during the cropping period were computed based on the investment on preparatory cost including planting materials. Cost of production was calculated by taking into account the cost of land preparation, material inputs, irrigation, harvesting and assembling expenses etc. with labour charges taken as Rs. 325 per manday. For calculating the gross monetary return, sale price of the loose flower have been taken as Rs.25/kg. Gross monetary returns (Rs./ha) was worked out for different treatments as:

Gross monetary returns (Rs. / ha) = Total saleable flowers/ ha × Market rate

Net returns (Rs. / ha) = Gross returns/ ha – Total expenditure/ ha

Benefit: Cost ratio: Net returns/Total expenditure

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The most important single factor which decides the adoption of any improved cultural practice by the grower is its cost of cultivation. The cost-benefit ratio of treatments is another most important factor that determines its usefulness and acceptance by the grower. A treatment should not only be effective but also should be profitable in proposition to be accepted by a grower. In the present study, the different treatments showed clear impact on the comparative economics of the production of flowers in French marigold. The details pertaining to costs and returns are given in Table 1.

Yield analysis

The yield data pertaining to flower production under the influence of different integrated nutrient management treatments comprising of combinations of organic manure, inorganic fertilizers, biofertilizers and water soluble fertilizers are appended

in Table 2. From the data, it is evident that the treatment T₉ comprising of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP recorded significantly highest flower yield of 25000 Kg/ha compared to other treatments followed by 23000 Kg/ha in treatment T₈ (75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP and 20000 Kg/ha in treatment T₂ (100% RDF) whereas, the lowest yield (9500 Kg/ha) was recorded in Farmers practice (T₁).The economics under various treatments are worked out on the basis of yield under each individual treatment.

Different studies conducted have reported increased in yield of cut/loose flowers under application of organic, inorganic, biofertilizers in combination or alone. Kore *et al.* (2003) reported maximum flower yield with WSF (AQUAFERT, 19:19:19, NPK) at 75% of the RDF in China aster cv. 'Ostrich Plume Mixed'. Barman *et al.* (2006) recorded highest flower yield per metre square per annum in rose cv. 'First Red' with 200 and 300 kg N and K₂O ha⁻¹year⁻¹ through water soluble fertilizers. In another study, foliar application of 0.6% Sangral (containing macro-nutrients 20% N, 20% P, 20% K, 0.12% Mg) and micro-elements (70 ppm Fe, 14 ppm Zn, 16 ppm Cu, 42 ppm Mn, 72 ppm B and 24 ppm Mo) recorded the highest number of flowers per plant in carnation cv. 'Red Sim' (El-Naggar 2009). Singh *et al.* (2013) reported highest number of cut flowers per metre square in carnation cv. Master with the application of water soluble fertilizer sujala (19:19:19 NPK). Majumder *et al.* (2014) reported application of 75% RDF along with FYM (2 kg/m²), Vermicompost (300 g/m²) + *Azospirillum* (2 g/plant/year) + PSB (2 g/plant/year) enhanced flower yields in commercial flower crops. Carnation cv. Master plants fertilized with 250 ppm N and K fertigation through urea and MOP + 250 ppm NPK foliar spray through a water soluble fertilizer Sujala (19 : 19 : 19 NPK) once a week is improved yield parameters and proved superior over the earlier recommended practices and the rest of the treatments (Singh *et al.* 2015). Kumari and Prasad (2016) reported maximum number of flowers/plant with conjoint application of *Azotobactor* + PSB +PMB + 100% doses of NPK in petunia (*Petunia hybrida*) var. Picotee.

Total expenditure

Among all the treatments, the highest gross expenditure of Rs. 160828.43 per ha was incurred in 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T₉) followed by Rs. 160626.83 in treatment T₆, Rs. 160328.43 in T₇ and Rs. 160126.83 in T₄ and lowest of Rs. 111875.00 per ha with Farmers practice (T₁).

Gross return.

Highest gross returns in monetary terms (Rs.500000/ha) was recorded with T₉ comprising of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP followed by Rs. 460000/- in treatment T₈ (75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP) and Rs. 400000/- in treatment T₂ (100% RDF). Lowest gross return of Rs.1,90,000/- was recorded in farmers practice (T₁).

Net return

Adoptability of any farming system is decided by the net returns which are regarded as the main parameter deciding its sustainability. The highest net income of Rs. 339171.60 per ha was recorded with treatment T₈ (75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP) and T₉ (75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP) followed by Rs.287022.50 in 100% RDF (T₂), whereas as the lowest net income of Rs.78125.0 was recorded in Farmers practice (T₁). Verma *et al.* (2011) reported highest net returns and B: C ratio/ha with azospirillum, PSB, vermicompost and 50% recommended dose of NPK in chrysanthemum. Angadi (2014) reported highest net income and benefit cost ratio with azospirillum+PSB+ 50 % vermicompost equivalent to RDN + 50 % recommended NPK in garland chrysanthemum. Dalwai and Naik (2017) reported maximum net returns and highest BCR with 75% RDF+ azospirillum+PSB+ vermicompost +FYM in carnation cv.Soto. Kumar *et al.* (2016) reported 75% RDF +25% vermicompost as the most economically viable treatment in recording highest gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio in marigold cv. Pusa Basanti Gainda. Tiwari *et al.* (2018) recorded highest flower yield, net returns and cost: benefit ratio with 100% recommended dose of NPK (100 kg N, 75 kg P and 75 kg K) + 25 % R.D of vermi-compost (17.9 q/ha) + GA₃ 100 ppm in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda.

Benefit- cost analysis

In the present investigations, economic analysis of different treatments revealed that application of T₈ comprising of 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP gave maximum returns with benefit cost ratio of 2.81 closely followed by 2.54 in 100 % RDF. The economic value of a crop is determined by its yield and quality. If growing conditions provide required microclimate and nutrition, plants exhibit full expression of genetic potential, yield and quality for long period. The acceptance of any package by farmers depends largely on the comparative economics of a practice and also feasibility of adoption as well as its effect on yield and quality as well. This increase in monetary return may be attributed to higher yield and improved quality of flowers which fetches higher price in the market. The variation in net returns and cost: benefit ratio might be due to the difference in yield, price of flowers and other inputs like spent mushroom compost, biofertilizers and MKP. Jadhav *et al.* (2014) reported the maximum benefit cost ratio with the application of 75% RDN + *Azotobacter* in marigold cv. Pusa Basanti Gainda. Rao *et al.* (2015) reported application of 75% RDF in integration with farm yard manure, vermicompost, Azospirillum and phosphate solubilizing bacteria recorded maximum B: C ratio in tuberose while Sharma *et al.* (2017) reported highest B:C ratio with application of *Azospirillum*+ PSB+ 5 % cow urine + 50 % recommended dose of N through vermicompost +50 % recommended dose of NPK in African marigold. Similar findings have also been reported by Laishram *et al* (2016) in chrysanthemum and Singh *et al* (2016) in carnation. Diwivedi *et al.* (2018) reported maximum Gross return, net return and cost benefit ratio with conjoint application of organic and inorganic fertilizers in jasmine. Shruthi and Vishwanath (2018) reported that application of 25:50:25 kg NPK ha⁻¹ + foliar application of water soluble fertilizer at branching in Lima Bean recorded significantly higher net returns and B: C ratio.

Table1: DETAILS OF COST OF CULTIVATION (for 1 hectare area)**A. Cost of labour**

Sl. No.	Cost components	Quantity/No	Cost/unit (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
I.	Land preparation			
	a. Ploughing	-	-	Rs. 5,000
	b. Lavelling and bed preparation	50 mandays	Rs. 325 per manday	Rs.16,250
II.	Planting	25 mandays	Rs. 325 per manday	Rs. 8,125
III.	Intercultural oprations			
	a. Fertilizer application	20 mandays	Rs. 325 per manday	Rs. 6,500
	b. Weeding	20 mandays	Rs. 325 per manday	Rs. 6,500
	c. Irrigation	20 mandays	Rs. 325 per manday	Rs. 6,500
IV.	Harvesting	40 mandays	Rs. 325 per manday	Rs. 13,000
Total labour charges				Rs. 61,875

B. Material cost

Sl. No.	Cost components	Quantity/No.	Cost/unit (Rs.)	Total cost (Rs.)
I.	Marigold seedlings	50,000 seedlings	Rs. 1 per seedling	Rs. 50,000
II.	Inorganic fertilizers			
	a. Urea	-	Rs. 5.33/kg	-
	b. DAP	-	Rs. 29/kg	-
	c. MOP	-	Rs. 17/kg	-
III.	Organic fertilizers			
	a. MKP	-	Rs. 210/kg	-
	b. Azotobacter	-	Rs. 50/kg	-
	c. PSB	-	Rs. 50/kg	-
	d. Spent Mushroom Compost	-		-

C. Sale price of flower

Loose flower : Rs. 25/kg

Table 2: Economic profitability of French marigold cv. Local flower production as influenced by Integrated Nutrient Management

(INM) schedules

Integrated Nutrient Management Treatments		Flower yield (Kg/ha)	Total expenditure (Rs.)	Gross returns (Rs.)	Net returns (Rs.)	Benefit: Cost ratio
T ₁	Farmers practice (no fertilizer)	9500	111875.00	190000	78125.0	0.70
T ₂	100% RDF (200 kg N, 100 kg P ₂ O ₅ and 100 kg K ₂ O/ha)	20000	112977.50	400000	287022.5	2.54
T ₃	75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²)	17000	122877.45	340000	217122.6	1.77
T ₄	75 % RDF + Biofertilizers ^a	17500	160126.83	350000	189873.2	1.19
T ₅	75 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP ^b	18000	120626.83	360000	239373.2	1.98
T ₆	75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + Biofertilizers	13500	160626.83	270000	109373.2	0.68
T ₇	75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP	18000	160328.43	360000	199671.6	1.25
T ₈	75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)	23000	120828.43	460000	339171.6	2.81
T ₉	75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP	25000	160828.43	500000	339171.6	2.11
T ₁₀	50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²)	14500	157376.22	290000	132623.8	0.84
T ₁₁	50 % RDF + Biofertilizers	15000	117876.22	300000	182123.8	1.55
T ₁₂	50 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)	13500	117577.82	270000	152422.2	1.30
T ₁₃	50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + Biofertilizers	12500	157876.22	250000	92123.78	0.58
T ₁₄	50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP	15500	157577.82	310000	152422.2	0.97
T ₁₅	50 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP	18000	118077.82	360000	241922.2	2.05
T ₁₆	50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP	17000	158077.82	340000	181922.2	1.15
T ₁₇	25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²)	10500	154625.61	210000	55374.39	0.36
T ₁₈	25 % RDF + Biofertilizers	11000	115125.61	220000	104874.4	0.91
T ₁₉	25 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)	13500	114827.21	270000	155172.8	1.35
T ₂₀	25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + Biofertilizers	15500	155125.61	310000	154874.4	1.00
T ₂₁	25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP	16500	154827.21	330000	175172.8	1.13
T ₂₂	25 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP	16500	115327.21	330000	214672.8	1.86
T ₂₃	25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m ²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP	15500	155327.21	310000	154672.8	1.00

Biofertilizers^a = *Azotobacter chroococcum* + phosphorous solubilizing micro-organisms root dip; MKP^b = MKP (Mono potassium phosphate, 00:52:34 water soluble fertilizer)

4. CONCLUSION

For getting maximum profitability and yield potentiality of French marigold 'Local' plants under Jammu subtropical conditions, application of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of Mono potassium phosphate (MKP 00:52:34) produced the highest yield of saleable flowers/ha (25000 Kg/ha).

REFERENCES

1. Afagh H V, Sadaatmand S, Riahi H and Nejad R AK. 2019. Influence of spent mushroom compost as an organic fertilizer on nutrient, growth, yield and essential oil composition of German chamomile (*Matricaria Recutita* L.). *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. **50**(5): 538-548.
2. Angadi A P. 2014. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, economics and nutrient uptake of garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.) *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. **9**(1): 132-135.
3. Chaudhary A S, Sachan S K and Singh R L. 2004. Studies on the effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of hybrid capsicum (*Capsicum annum*). *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **18**(1-2): 147-149.
4. Barman D, Rajni K, Upadhyaya R C and Singh D K. 2006. Effect of horticultural practices for sustainable production of rose in partially modified greenhouse. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **63**(4): 415-418.
5. Dalawai B and Naik B H. 2017. Influence of nutrient sources on performance of Carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) Cv. Soto under naturally ventilated polyhouse. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **6**(5): 2049-2051

6. Diwivedi R, Saravanan S, Shabi M and Kasera S. 2018. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth and flower yield of jasmine (*Jasminum grandiflorum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* **7**(6): 683-686
7. El-Naggar A H. 2009. Response of *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. plants to foliar nutrition. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **5**(5):622-630.
8. Gomez L A and Gomez A A. 1985. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. (2nd ed.) John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 680p.
9. Gruhn T H, Campbell C A and Biederbeck V O. 2005. Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers for maize production. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **54**: 876-881.
10. Jadhav P B, Alka Singh, Mangave B D, Patil N B, Patel D J, Dekhane S S and Kireeti A. 2014. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Basanti Gainda. *Annals of Biological Research*, **5** (9):10-14.
11. Kore V N, Meman S I and Burondkar M M. 2003. Effect of GA₃ and fertigation on flower quality and yield of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees var. 'Ostrich Plume Mixed' under Konkan agro-climatic conditions. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture* **31**(1): 58-60.
12. Kumar P, Kumar V and Kumar D. 2016. Response of integrated nutrient management to plant growth, flower yield and shelf life of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Basanti Gainda. *International Journal of Agricultural Invention* **1**(1): 108-112.

13. Kumari S and Prasad V M. 2016. Effect of different nitrogen doses, azotobactor, PSB and PMB on plant vigour, flowering and yield of petunia (*Petunia hybrida*) var. Picotee. *HortFlora Research Spectrum* **5**(2): 161-164.
14. Laishram N, Dhiman S R, Singh A and Gupta Y C. 2016. Profitability of chrysanthemum cut flower production as influenced by integrated nutrient management under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture* **19** (3&4):125-131.
15. Majumder J, Perinban S, Tiwari A K, Saha T N and Kumar R. 2014. Integrated nutrient management in commercial flower crops. *Progressive Research* **9**(1): 28-32.
16. Patel V B, Singh S K, Ram Asrey, Lata Nain, Singh A K and Singh Laxman. 2009. Microbial and inorganic fertilizers application influenced vegetative growth, yield, leaf nutrient status and soil microbial biomass in sweet orange cv. Mosambi. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **66**: 163–8.
17. Rao K D, Kameswari P L and Rani T B .2015. Impact of integrated nutrient management on growth, flowering, yield and economics of tuberose. *Agriculture Science Digest* **35**(1): 66-69
18. Sharma S K, Pal K, Singh K P and Tewari S K. 2017. Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality production of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) *Hortflora Research Spectrum* **6**(4): 288-291.
19. Shruthi M K and Vishwanath A P. 2018. Influence of Foliar Application of Water Soluble NPK Fertilizer on Yield, Economics Nutrient Uptake and

Quality of Lima Bean. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience* **6** (2): 562-566.

20. Singh A and Singh J N. 2009. Effect of biofertilizers and bioregulators on growth, yield and nutrient status of strawberry cv. Sweet Charlie. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **66**: 220–4.
21. Singh A, Sharma B P, Dilta B S, Laishram N, Gupta Y C and Bhardwaj S K. 2015. Effect of fertilization on quality flower production and foliar nutrient content of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) cv. Master. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*. **44** (1):133-137
22. Singh A, Sharma B P, Dilta B S, Gupta Y C, Laishram N and Baweja H S. 2016. Economic analysis of carnation cv. Master cut flower production as influenced by fertilizer schedules under naturally ventilated poyhouse. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*. **45**(1):25-31
23. Singh A, Sharma B P, Gupta Y C, Dilta B S and Laishram N. 2013. Response of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) cv. Master to water soluble fertilizer sujala (19:19:19 NPK). *Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. **83** (12):1364-67
24. Tiwari H, Kumar M, Naresh R K, Singh M K, Malik S, Singh S P and Chaudhary V. 2018. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers with foliar application of gibberellic acid on productivity, profitability and soil health of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. *International Journal of Agricultural Statistical Science*. **14**(2): 575-585
25. Verma S K, Angadi S G, Patel V S, Mokashi A N, Mathad J C and Mummigatti U V. 2011. Growth, yield and quality of chrysanthemum

(*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat) cv. Raja as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **24**(5):681-683

DEFINITIONS, ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS

Here is the Definitions section. This is an optional section.

Term: Definition for the term

APPENDIX