

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90752
Title of the Manuscript:	Different methods of betalain extraction from red beetroot (<i>Beta vulgaris</i> L.) for preparation of solid food colour and beetroot leather.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I consider that the work should be reviewed, corrected, completed.</p> <p>I suggest making more references in the introduction to the antioxidant power of betalains.</p> <p>The methods are incomplete.</p> <p>The mention and description of the methods used for some of the parameters measured and contained in the results are missing.</p> <p>It is necessary to describe the methodology used so that the work is understood and so that other researchers can repeat it.</p> <p>An exhaustive analysis and discussion of the results remains to be carried out.</p> <p>Review the preparation of tables of results and their legends.</p> <p>Results of described methods are missing.</p> <p>It is necessary to introduce the results with explanatory texts and then develop their analysis. You can not put a table of results without anything else.</p> <p>Based on the results, their analysis and discussion work on the conclusions.</p> <p>I suggest looking for some more current references, and see how these articles present their contents, from introduction to materials and methods, results and discussion and conclusions.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is essential to review all punctuation marks, spaces between words, indentations, justified paragraphs throughout the text.</p> <p>Correct tables.</p> <p>Check the content and location of the legends of tables, figures.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gisela Lucía Fabiani
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Santiago del Estero, Argentina