

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90750
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment on Genetic Variability Correlation and Path Analysis for Quantitative Traits in Blackgram (Vigna mungo L. Hepper)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Objectives</b> as a subheading is not needed to add rather it should be at the end of the introduction as a single paragraph (Not bullet points).</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b> section should be more elaborative.</p> <p><b>Result and Discussion</b> Starting of the segments is not so attractive (titles of the subsections). It needs to be modified. Moreover, whenever discussing the current work/results, its important to validate the work by comparison with other research groups who are working on it or quite relevant. It is very important to improve the result and discussion section for getting acceptance. <b>Conclusion:</b> No need to bullet it. Rather make a single paragraph</p> <p><b>Graph:</b> Why the bar diagram is black in background, it should be normal in format. Not copies. There is no error bar, so may be the repetition of the research has not been done but its is very important for any research to be validated.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please check the spelling and grammatical mistakes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	If possible please do the English editing of the	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sonali Bhakta
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh