

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90590
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of drying techniques of Dahlia, Larkspur and China Aster
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>IN Abstract:</b>            "The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length"  <b>This abstract have more than 400 words:</b>  <i>I suggests</i>            1- remove alls values            2- "Maximum final perimeter, minimum reduction in perimeter, minimum percent reduction of perimeter and maximum percent retention of perimeter was observed in hot air oven drying with sand as embedding medium (T6)"            Change for:            "Maximum final perimeter, minimum reduction in perimeter, minimum reduction of perimeter and maximum retention of perimeter was observed in T6 drying treatment".            Do not describe the methodology again. Correct all descriptions.</p> <p><b>IN Introduction</b>            The aim of this work was to standardize drying methods of Dahlia, Larkspur and China Aster and to evaluate storage methods of dried flowers of Dahlia, Larkspur and China Aster.</p> <p><b>IN Results and Discussion</b>  <b>Table 4</b>  <b>Correct:</b>            M1 Shade drying; M2 Shade drying with sand embedding; .... continue with the other descriptions            "With respect to colour, texture, appearance and shattering of petals, <u>significant differences</u> were obtained in different methods of drying for Dahlia, Larkspur and China Aster"            It mentions significant differences but the statistical test used is not described. Check.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Check space enter words Check the bibliography	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edith Alba Luz Segovia Corrales</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional de Asunción, Paraguay</b>