Original Research Article

"Comparative efficacy of certain insecticides and biopesticides against chickpea podborer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*(L.)"

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted at the research plot of the Department of Agricultural Entomology at the Central Research Field, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during the *Rabi* season of 2021-22. The treatments selected for this experiment were Emamectin benzoate (T_1), Spinosad (T_2), Profenophos (T_3), Indoxacarb (T_4), Neem seed kernel extract (T_5), Karanja oil (T_6), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (T_7) and Control (T_0). The treatments were sprayed for 2 times on the pod borers having crossed their ETL levels at an interval of 15 days. Observations i.e. the larval counts (5 random plants / plot) were taken in an order of day before spray, 3rd ,7th and 14th days after spray. The results revealed that the treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were successful in bringing down the pest infestation and superior over control. Among all the treatments applied, lowest infestation of gram pod borer was observed in Spinosad 45%SC followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SG, Indoxacarb 14.5%SC significantly superior over untreated control. Spinosad 45% SC gave maximum grain yield of 22.50 q/ha against the control yielding only up to 6.66 q/ha. At the same time the benefit cost ratios of the treatments stands like the best and most economical treatment Spinosad (1:3.75) followed by Emamectin benzoate (1:3.71), Indoxacarb (1:2.94), Profenophos (1:2.53), NSKE (1:2.19), Karanja oil (1:1.53), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (1×10^9 cfu) (1:0.87) and control (1:0:67).

Key words: benefit cost ratio, Chickpea, efficacy, *Helicoverpa armigera*, insecticides, infestation, pod.

INTRODUCTION

Chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is one of the most important pulse crops grown in India, with acreage of 10.91 million hectare yielding about 8.98 million tones and productivity 886 kg per hectare **(Anonymous, 2013).** According to De Candolle, the fact that gram has a Sanskrit name “Chanaka” which indicates that the crop was under cultivation in India longer than in any other country in the world. **(Gowda *et al.*, 2007).** It is adapted to relatively cooler climates. The largest area of adaptation is in the Indian sub-continent. In recent years its cultivation has spread to Australia. Chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* (L.) family Leguminaceae (Fabaceae) is originated in South-eastern Turkey and spread to other parts of the world. Gram commonly known as chickpea or Bengal gram is the most important pulse crop of India. In India it is also known as ‘King of pulses’ **(Anonymous, 2010).**

Two types of chickpea cultivars are recognized globally- *kabuli* and *desi*. The *kabuli* types are generally grown in the Mediterranean region including southern Europe, Western Asia and Northern Africa, and the *desi* types are grown mainly in Ethiopia and indian subcontinent. *Desi* chickpeas are characterized by flowers of varying colours, angular to round seeds with dark seed coat, anthocyanin pigmentation and semi spreading to erect, semierect or semi-spreading growth habit, whereas kabuli types generally have owl- or ram- shaped beige-coloured seeds, white flowers, smooth seed surface, lack of anthocyanin pigmentation and semi spreading to erect growth habit **(Malhotra *et al*., 1987 and Muehlbauer *et al*., 1987).**

Nutritional value per 100 g of Chickpea contains carbohydrates (27.42 g), protein (8.86 g), total fat (2.59 g), dietary fiber (7.6 g), folates (172 mcg), niacin (0.526 mg), pantothenic acid (0.245 mg), pyridoxine (0.215 mg), riboflavin (0.063 mg), thiamin (0.200 mg), vitamin C (1.3 mg), vitamin A (27 IU), vitamin E (0.35 mg), vitamin K (4.0 mcg), sodium (7 mg), potassium (291 mg), calcium (49mg), iron (2.89 mg), magnesium (48 mg), phosphorus (168 mg), zinc (1.53 mg). **(source: USDA National Nutrient data base,2021)**.

The current productivity level of Globally, bengalgram is grown in an area of 137 lakh hectares with a production of 142.4 lakh tonnes and productivity of 1038 kg/ha **(FAO STAT, 2019)**. In India, chickpea accounts for about 45% of total pulses production. Similar to the case of other pulses, India is the major chikpea producing country and contributing for over 75% of total world chickpea production. India is the largest producer of world gram production followed by

Australia, Myanmar and Ethiopia (FAO STAT, 2019). In India, bengalgram takes first position in total pulse production followed by Black gram. The chickpea production in the country has gone up from 3.65 to 9.53 million tones between 1950-51 and 2013-14, registering a modest growth. During the period while the area has also gone up from 7.57 to 9.93 million ha, the yield has steadily increased from 482 kg/ha to 960 **(Maurya *et al*., 2018).**

Chickpea crop is attacked by nearly 57 species of insect and other arthropods in india **(Lal, 1992).** Among them, pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera*(Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is most important. And accounts for about 90 to 95 % of the total damage caused by all the insect pests **(Sachan and Katti, 1994).**

Gram pod borer is considered as a notorious pest of chickpea. It also attacks pigeon pea, moong bean, lentil, soybean, okra, maize, berseem, sunflower, sorghum, tobacco and tomato. Besides gram pod borer, it is also known as cotton bollworm, gram caterpillar, tomato fruit worm and tobacco bud worm. Pod borer is the most serious insect pest of chickpea. Percent larval survival and pupation were the maximum on chickpea as compared to other host plants **(Lateef and Reed, 1983).**

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during *rabi* season 2021 at crop research farm, Uttar Pradesh, India, in a randomized block design with eight treatments replicated three times using local variety in a plot size of (2m×2m) at a spacing of (30×10cm) with a recommended package of practices excluding plant protection. The soil of the experimental site was well drained and medium high. The crop research farm is situated at 25°24’North latitude 81°51’ East Longitude and at an altitude of 98m above mean sea level. The experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design with three replications. A good tilth area was divided into three main blocks. Each main block was sub-divided into 8 sub-plots each of which was of 2m × 2m with maintaining 30cm borders as a bunds and the treatments should be assigned randomly. Spraying were done when the pest has crossed ETL level (upon observation of 4 to (5 larvae per plant) at an interval of 15 days with the help of hand compression sprayer. Spraying was done at dawn and desk time when there were not much wind currents. Observations i.e. the larval counts (5 randomly selected plants per plot) were taken in an order of day before spray, 3rd, 7th and 14th day after spray. Observations were taken daily in order to observe the incidence of *Helicoverpa armigera*.

Preparation of Insecticidal solution:

The desired concentration of insecticidal spray solution for each treatment was freshly prepared each and every time at the site of experiment, just before the start of spraying operations. The quantity of spray materials required for crop was gradually increased as the crop advanced in age. The spray solution of desired concentration was prepared by adopting the following formula:

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{A})}{\%\ \mathbf{a.i.}}$$

Where,

V= Volume of a formulated pesticide required.

C= Concentration required.

A= Volume of total solution to be prepared.

% a.i. = Given Percentage strength of a formulated pesticide.

$$\text{Larval Population count} = \frac{Total\ no.of\ larvae \times 100}{5\ randomly\ selected\ plants}$$

$$\text{B:C Ratio} = \frac{Net\ returns}{Total\ cost\ incurred}$$

Where,

B:C Ratio = Benefit Cost Ratio

RESULTS

The data on Larval population of pod borer on three days after 1st spray (3DAS) revealed that all the treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 45%SC (2.6%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (2.86%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (3.2%), Profenophos 50%EC (3.46%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (3.66%), Karanja oil

0.2% (3.86%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (4.2%) is found to be a least effective among all the treatments as compared to control (5.8%).

The data on Larval population of pod borer on seven days after 1st spray (7DAS) revealed that all the treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 45%SC (0.93%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (1.26%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (1.46%), Profenophos 50%EC (1.8%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (2.0%), Karanja oil 0.2% (2.4%). Among all the treatments *Bacillus thuringiensis* (2.8%) is found to be a least effective but comparatively superior over the control (6.53%).

The data on Larval population of pod borer on fourteen days after 1st spray (14DAS) revealed that all the treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 45%SC (3.26%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (3.66%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (4.06%) and Profenophos 50%EC (4.06%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (4.3%), Karanja oil 0.2% (4.4%). Among all the treatments and *Bacillus thuringiensis* (4.8%) were significantly superior over control (7.53%).

The data on Larval population of pod borer on three days after 2nd spray (3DAS) revealed that all the treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 14.5%SC (1.93%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (2.33%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (2.66%), Profenophos 50%EC (2.86%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (3.2%), Karanja oil 0.2% (3.46%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (3.66%) were significantly superior over control (8.46%).

The data on Larval population of pod borer on seven days after 2nd spray (7DAS) revealed that all the treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 14.5%SC (0.86%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (1.13%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC

(1.46%), Profenophos 50%EC (1.73%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (2.0%), Karanja oil 0.2% (2.2%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (2.6%) were significantly superior over control (9.26%).

The data on Larval population of pod borer on fourteen days after 2nd spray (14DAS) revealed that all the treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 14.5%SC (3.13%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (3.6%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (4.0%), Profenophos 50%EC (4.33%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (4.53%), Karanja oil 0.2% (4.86%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (5.13%) were significantly superior over control (10.00%).

The data on larval population of pod borer of overall mean of 1st spray from table 1 revealed that all treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 45%SC (2.26%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (2.57%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (2.90%), Profenophos 50%EC (3.1%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (3.3%), Karanja oil 0.2% (3.5%). Among all the treatments and *Bacillus thuringiensis* (3.9%). were significantly superior over control (6.62%).

The data on larval population of pod borer of overall mean of 2nd spray from table 1 revealed that all treatments (insecticides and biopesticides) were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments, lowest larval population of chickpea pod borer was recorded in Spinosad 14.5%SC (1.97%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (2.34%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (2.686%), Profenophos 50%EC (2.97%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (3.24%), Karanja oil 0.2% (3.52%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (3.77%) were significantly superior over control (9.24%).

The yields among the treatment were significant. The highest yield was recorded in Spinosad 45%SC (22.50 q/ha) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (20 q/ha), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (18.33 q/ha), Profenophos 50%EC (15 q/ha), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (13.33 q/ha), Karanja oil 0.2% (10.83 q/ha), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (8.33 q/ha) and control (6.66 q/ha).

The cost benefit ratio worked out, interesting result was achieved, among the treatment studied, the best and most economical treatment Spinosad 45% SC (1:3.75) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (1:3.71), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (1:2.94), Profenophos 50%EC (1:2.53), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (1:2.19), Karanja oil 0.2% (1:1.53), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (1:0.87), as compared to Control (1:0.67). However, all the treatments controlled the chickpea pod borer infestation effectively compared to untreated control.

DISCUSSION

The overall mean of both the sprays raveled that among all the treatments, the lowest larval population of *Helicoverpa armigera* attacking chickpea was observed Spinosad 45% SC (2.11%) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (2.45%), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (2.79%), Profenophos 50%EC (3.03%), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (3.27%), Karanja oil 0.2% (3.54%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (3.85%) and control (7.93%). The highest yield was recorded in Spinosad 45%SC (22.50 q/ha) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (20 q/ha), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (18.33 q/ha), Profenophos 50%EC (15 q/ha), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (13.33 q/ha), Karanja oil 0.2% (10.83 q/ha), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (8.33 q/ha) and control (6.66 q/ha).

These results are similar to the findings of **Narayan *et al.*, (2012)** where the highest yield was recorded in Spinosad (45% SC) @200gm/ha + Emamectin benzoate (5% SG) @ 30gm/ha (1931) of chickpea (670Kg/ha) in untreated control. These results were supported by **Kumar *et al.*, (2010)**

Table 1. Comparative effect and economics of selected insecticides and biopesticides against chickpea podborer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* (L.) during *Rabi* season of 2021-22

S.No.	Treatments	Larval population									Yield (q/ha)	B:C Ratio
		First spray				Second spray						
		3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	Mean	3DAS	7DAS	14DAS	Mean	Overall Mean		
T_1	**Spinosad 45%SC**	2.6	0.93	3.26	2.26	1.93	0.86	3.13	1.97	2.11	22.50	1:3.75
T_2	**Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss**	2.86	1.26	3.6	2.57	2.3	1.13	3.6	2.34	2.45	18.33	1:3.71
T_3	**Indoxacarb 14.5%SC**	3.2	1.46	4.06	2.90	2.6	1.46	4.00	2.68	2.79	10.83	1:2.94
T_4	**Profenophos 50%EC**	3.46	1.8	4.06	3.10	2.86	1.73	4.33	2.97	3.03	13.33	1:2.53
T_5	**Neem seed kernel extract 5%**	3.6	2	4.3	3.30	3.2	2.0	4.53	3.24	3.27	20.0	1:2.19
T_6	**Karanjoil 0.2%**	3.86	2.4	4.46	3.57	3.46	2.26	4.86	3.52	3.54	15.0	1:1.53
T7	***Bacillus thuringiensis*** (1×10^9cfu/ml)	4.2	2.8	4.8	3.93	3.6	2.6	5.13	3.77	3.85	8.3	1:0.87
T_0	**Control**	5.8	6.53	7.53	6.62	8.46	9.26	10.00	9.24	7.93	6.6	1:0.67
	F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	-----	-----
	S. Ed (±)	0.107	0.095	0.143	0.198	0.059	0.064	0.082	0.180	0.328	-----	-----
	C.D. (P = 0.5)	0.270	0.355	0.533	0.738	0.223	0.242	0.309	0.672	1.651	-----	-----

CONCLUSION

From the critical analysis of the present findings it can be concluded that, among the treatments used Spinosad 45%SC was found to be most superior in managing chickpea pod borer. How ever, Emamectin benzoate 5% SG, Indoxacarb 14.5% SC, Profenophos 50% EC, has shown average results. Biopesticides like Neem seed kernel extract 5%, Karanjoil 0.2%, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (1×10^9cfu) found to be the least effective in managing *Helicoverpa armigera*. Among the treatments studied Spinosad 45%SC gave the highest cost benefit ratio (1:3.75) and marketing yield (22.50 q/ha) followed by Emamectin benzoate 5%SGss (1:3.71) and 20.0 q/h), Indoxacarb 14.5%SC (1:2.94 and 18.33 q/h), Profenophos 50%EC (1:2.53 and 15.0 q/h), Neem seed kernel extract 5% (1:2.19 and 13.33 q/ha), Karanjoil 0.2% (1:1.53 and 10.83 q/h) And *Bacillus thuringiensis*(1:0.87 and 8.3 q/h) under Prayagraj agroclimatic conditions as such more trials are required in future to validate the findings.

REFERENCES

Chaukikar, K., Bhowmick, A. K., Das, S. B., Marabi, R. S. and Tomar, V. S. (2017). Bioefficacy of emamectin benzoate against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner and its natural enemies on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) crop. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, 8(5), 716-720.

Dabhi, M. V., Patel, H. M., Bharpoda, T. M. and Jhala, R. C. (2015). Bio-efficacy of indoxacarb 15 EC against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) Hardwick infesting pigeonpea. *International Journal of Plant Protection*, **8**(1), 65-68.

Gautam, M. P., Chandra, U., Singh, S. N., Yadav, S. K. and Giri, S. K. (2018). Studies on efficacy of botanicals against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **7**, 612-618.

Goutham, K. (2018). Comparitive efficacy of selected botanicals and chloropyriphos against gram pod borer [*Helicoverpa Armigera* (Hubner)] on chickpea [*Cicer arietinum* (L.)]. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**(1), 296-298.

Gayathri, L. and Kumar, A. (2021). Field efficacy of certain insecticides against pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chick pea in Prayagraj. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 9(3), 280-283.

Iqbal, J., Farooq, S. U., Jamil, M., Khan, H. A. A. and Younis, M. (2014). Relative efficacy of selective insecticides against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera H.*) of chickpea. *Mycopath*, **12**(2), 119-122.

Kumar, P., Kumar, S., Rana, R. and Sachan, S. K. (2014). Comparative efficacy of novel insecticides and bio-pesticides on larval population density of gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) on chickpea. *Journal of Plant Development Sciences*, **6**(2), 335-338.

Kumar, A., Tripathi, M. K. and Chandra, U. (2019). Economics of botanicals and biopesticides especially in reference to management of *Helicoverpa armigera* in chickpea crop. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **9**(3), 270-287.

Meena, R. K., Naqui, A. R., Meena, D. S. and Shibbhagvan, S. (2018). Evaluation of bio-pesticides and indoxacarb against gram pod borer on chickpea. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies*, **6**, 2208-2212.

Narayan, S. R. P., Singh, P. S. and Singh, S. K. (2015). Evaluation of certain new insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (hubner) on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Experimental Zool*ogy, 18(1), 227-231.

Naveen, G. and Ghosh, S. M. (2020). Efficacy of some insecticides against gram pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* on Chickpea. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, **82**(3), 515-518.

Ojha, P. K., Kumari, R. and Chaudhary, R. S. (2017). Field evaluation of certain bio-pesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) and its impact on pod damage and per plant yield of chickpea. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **5**(2), 1092-1099.

Rani, D. S., Kumar, S. P., Venkatesh, M. N., Sri, C. H. N. S. and Kumar, K. A. (2018). Bio efficacy of insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in Redgram. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, **6**(2), 3173-3176.

Sehgal, V. K. and Ujagir, R. (1990). Effect of synthetic pyrethroids, neem extracts and other insecticides for the control of pod damage by *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea and pod damage-yield relationship at Pantnagar in northern India. *Crop protection*, **9**(1), 29-32.

Upadhyay, R. R., Singh, P. S. and Singh, S. K. (2020). Comparative efficacy and economics of certain insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **48**(4), 403-410.