

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90470
Title of the Manuscript:	Pre- Sowing Seed Treatments of Selected Organics on Growth, Yield and Yield Attributing Characters of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Repairs need to be made according to suggestions	
Minor REVISION comments	An abstract should contain a brief description of the problem, objectives, methods, results obtained and implementation of research results Introduction: it is necessary to describe the problem of cowpea so that problem solving is needed such as utilizing various plant leaves that can control the problem. If there are previous research results, they need to be included in the introduction. Research objectives should be presented in sentences without using serial numbers. Materials and methods: the workings of determining the treatment should be described clearly here and not in the introduction, so that this research can be carried out using the same method. Further tests need to be included in the method. To compare between the best practices, it is necessary to use further tests, for example honest real difference (HSD), smallest significant difference (LSD) or Duncan's	
Optional/General comments	Can be published after repair	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakka Samudin
Department, University & Country	Tadulako University, Indonesia