

# Field efficacy and economics of different insecticides against tomato fruit borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)]

Article type: Original Research Article

## ABSTRACT

The experiment on field efficacy and economics of different insecticides against tomato fruit borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] was conducted during 2021-2022, at Central Research Field, Department of Entomology, SHUATS, Naini, Prayagraj, U.P. The data on incremental per cent reduction of different treatments revealed that the T5 Spinosad 45% SC (81.379) followed by T1 Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (75.140), T7 Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (74.634), T2 Flubendiamide 39.5% SC (68.634), T3 Novaluron 10% EC (65.647), T4 Fipronil 5% SC (54.225), T6 Neem oil 0.03% EC (49.533) found to be least efficient than all other treatments. Among the treatments studied the best and most economical treatment was Spinosad (1:6.72), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC 14.5SC (1:6.42), followed by Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (1:6.3), Novaluron 45% SC (1:5.09), Flubendiamide 20% WG (1:4.45), Fipronil 5% SC (1:7.9), (1:3.92), Neem oil 0.03% EC (1:3.45) as compared to control T0 (1:3.04).

**Key words:** -Efficacy, Economics, Insecticides, *Helicoverpa armigera*, Tomato fruit borer.

## INTRODUCTION

Tomato, *Solanum lycopersicon* (Miller) is one of the most important herbaceous crops belonging to the *Solanaceae* family. It is popularly known as wolf apple, love of apple or Vilaayati baingan. It ranks third largest vegetable crop after potato and sweet potato, but it tops in the list of canned vegetables. It can be used fresh in salad, curries or by-product like chutney, pickle, soups, ketchup, sauce, powder, purees and as a whole etc. (Patil *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[12]</sup>.

This crop is severely attacked by various insect pests *viz.*, fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner): whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius); aphid, *Aphis gossypii* (Glover); leaf eating caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius); American serpentine leaf miner, *Liriomyza trifolii* (Burgess) and red spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) Ignacimuthu. Among these, fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* is an important pest responsible for major yield loss in tomato. *Helicoverpa armigera* has attained the status of national pest in recent years in the form of economic damage caused to different agricultural crops throughout India. (Sathish *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[16]</sup>

The *Helicoverpa armigera* (Huner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), a highly polyphagous species and a pest of major economic importance on a wide range of crops, particularly cotton, soybeans, tobacco, chickpea and pigeon pea. The pest is polyphagous with a wide worldwide distribution. In India alone, it causes \$1 billion worth of damage annually. Half of all insecticides used in India for the protection of various crops are used for this pest. In Tamil Nadu, fruit losses range 40-50%. Similarly, in Northern India, 30% loss of the fruit was observed due to tomato fruitworm and reported 5-55% losses from this insect pest in the tomato growing areas of India. (Wajid *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[21]</sup>.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment is conducted during the *Rabi* season 2021- 2022 at SHUATS, Central Research field, Prayagraj, is situated at 25.27<sup>0</sup> North latitude 80.50<sup>0</sup> East longitude and at an altitude of 98 mt. above sea level in a randomized block design with eight treatments replicated three times using a variety **Lakshmi** were bought from Prayagraj used for field trial. The sowing was done on the 15<sup>th</sup> Nov 2021. Seed rate 400-500 g/ha. These seedlings are transplanted plant to plant and row to row spacing of 60 X 45 cm was maintained. Gap filling was done 10 days after to see uniform plant population in each plot.

Fertilizers were applied at the rate of half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorous and potassium was given at the time of transplanting. The remaining dose of nitrogen was applied one month after transplanting. Fertilizers were applied along the furrows in the form of urea, DAP (Di-ammonium Phosphorous) and MOP (Muriate of potash).

The crop was sown in *Rabi* season 2021-2022, one main irrigation channel of 1m width prepared in the experimental field and two sub irrigation channels of 0.5 m each were made to meet out the irrigation requirement. Crop depends on rainy water but irrigation was practiced to meet the water requirements.

Observation was made on the number of larvae per 5 plants in 2m row length at 5 different locations of all treatments were randomly selected and total number of larvae were recorded 1 day before application and 3<sup>rd</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> days after application in each treatment. The result obtained are converted into percent larval population reduction with following formula shown below

**Larval population** = No. of larvae / 5 plants in 2m

Control - Treatment

**Percent reduction over control** =  $\frac{\text{Control} - \text{Treatment}}{\text{Control}} \times 100$

### **Benefit Cost ratio**

Benefit:Cost Ratio Cost effectiveness of each treatment was assessed based on net returns. Net return of each treatment was worked out by deducting total cost of the treatment from gross returns. Total cost of production included both cultivation as well as plant protection charges.

$$\text{Gross return} = \text{Marketable Yield} \times \text{Market price}$$

$$\text{Net return} = \text{Gross return} - \text{Total cost}$$

$$\text{Benefit:Cost Ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross return}}{\text{Total cost}}$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among all the treatments highest percent population reduction of fruit borer was recorded in T5 Spinosad 45% SC (81.379) these findings are in support with **Reguri et al. (2021)**<sup>[14]</sup>, **Sushma et al. (2016)**<sup>19</sup> and **Amalendu et al. (2010)**<sup>[2]</sup> followed by T1 Indoxacarb 14.5 % SC (75.140) these findings are in support with **Santosh et al. (2020)**<sup>[07]</sup>, **Reguri et al. (2021)**<sup>[14]</sup> shown this insecticide in reducing percentage of larval population (85.04), (65.56) followed by T7 Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (74.634) these findings are in support with **Gulam et al. (2015)**<sup>[04]</sup>, **Khademul et al. (2020)**<sup>[05]</sup>, **kumaret al. (2014)**<sup>[06]</sup> (78) and (62.52) followed by T2 flubendiamide 39.5% SC (68.634) these findings are in support with **Gulam et al., (2015)**<sup>[04]</sup>, **Padhan and Raghuraman (2019)**<sup>[11]</sup> in reducing percentage of larval population (78.10), T3 Novuluron 10% EC (65.647) these findings are in support with **Satish et al. (2018)**<sup>[16]</sup> and **Singh et al., (2017)**<sup>[17]</sup> in reducing percentage of larval population (61.85), T4 Fipronil 5% SC (54.225) these findings are in support with **Ghosalet al. (2016)**<sup>[3]</sup>, **Meena et al. (2014)**<sup>[08]</sup> and **Santosh et al., (2020)** (81.78) and T6 Neem oil 0.03% EC (49.533) supported with **Sultana et al., (2015)**<sup>[09]</sup> and **Ojha et al. (2017)**<sup>[10]</sup> in reducing percentage of larval population (49.2) was found to be least effective than all the treatments and is significantly superior over the control. These results are shown in table: 1

The highest yield was recorded in Spinosad 45% SC (250q/ha) followed by Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (220q/ha), Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (210q/ha), Novaluron 10% EC (170q/ha), Flubendiamide 39.5% SC (160q/ha), Fipronil 5% SC (130q/ha), Neem oil 0.03% EC (120q/ha) as compared to T<sub>0</sub> control (100q/ha). These results are shown in figure: 1

When the benefit cost ratio was worked out, interesting results were achieved. The best and most economical treatment was Spinosad (1:6.72), Indoxacarb 14.5% SC (1:6.42), followed by Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (1:6.3), Novaluron 10% EC (1:5.09), Flubendiamide 39.5% SC (1:4.45), Fipronil 5% SC (1:7.9), (1:3.92), Neem oil 0.03% EC (1:3.45) as compared to

control T0 (1:3.04). These results are shown in Table:2

The present results of benefit cost ratio findings are similar with **Tejaswari and Kumar (2021)**<sup>[20]</sup>, **Indira et al. (2014)**<sup>[06]</sup>, **Game et al. (2018)**<sup>[1]</sup> and **Satish et al. (2018)**<sup>[06]</sup> reported that the cost benefit ratio obtained in Spinosad treated plot was (1:7.07), (1:0.86), (1:0.78) and (1:11.42). **Hemasreelatha and Yada (2021)**<sup>[22]</sup>, **Indira et al. (2014)**<sup>[06]</sup>, **Satish et al. (2018)**<sup>[16]</sup> concluded that, in terms of higher cost benefit ratio, Indoxacarb recorded (1:8.25), (1:0.85) and (1:14.73)., **Yadav and Hemasreelatha (2021)**<sup>[22]</sup>, **Sapka et al. (2018)**<sup>[15]</sup> observed C:B ratio in with Emamectin benzoate 1:6.7, 1:5.04. **Reddy et al. (2021)**<sup>[14]</sup>, **Sapka et al. (2018)**<sup>[15]</sup> reported that the cost benefit ratio obtained in Novaluron treated plot was (1:7.15), (1:0.95). **Tejaswari and Kumar (2021)**<sup>[20]</sup>, **Ghosal et al. (2012)**<sup>[2]</sup>, **Meena et al. (2014)**<sup>[08]</sup> concluded that, in terms of higher cost benefit ratio, Flubendiamide and neem oil recorded (1:6.4) and (1:5.6).

**Table:1**Efficacy of different insecticides against tomato fruit borer, *H.armigera*during *rabi* 2021-2022(Over all mean)

TREATMENTS		Per cent Population reduction of <i>H.armigera</i> / five plants		
		1 <sup>st</sup> SPRAY (MEAN)	2 <sup>nd</sup> SPRAY (MEAN)	OVERALL MEAN
T <sub>1</sub>	Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	68.955	81.324	75.140
T <sub>2</sub>	Flubendaimide 39.5% SC	60.731	76.537	68.634
T <sub>3</sub>	Novaluron 10% EC	52.931	78.363	65.647
T <sub>4</sub>	Fipronil 5% SC	42.140	66.309	54.225
T <sub>5</sub>	Spinosad 45% SC	76.982	85.776	81.379
T <sub>6</sub>	Neem oil 0.03%	40.802	58.263	49.533
T <sub>7</sub>	Emamectin benzoate 5% SG	65.297	83.971	74.634
T <sub>0</sub>	control	---	-----	-----

**Figure:1YIELDOFDIFFERENTTREATMENTS(q/ha)**

**Table:2Economics andbenefitcostratio.**

<b>Treatment Symbols</b>	<b>Yield(q/ha)</b>	<b>Selling price(Rs/q)</b>	<b>Gross return (Rs)</b>	<b>Total cost ofcultivation (Rs)</b>	<b>Net return(Rs)</b>	<b>B: C Ratio</b>
Indoxacarb 14.5%SC	220	1200	264000	41176	222824	1:6.42
Neem oil 0.03%	120	1200	144000	41701	102299	1:3.45
Fipronil 5% SC	130	1200	156000	39783	116217	1:3.92
Emamectinben zoate 5% SG	210	1200	252000	39951	212049	1:6.3
Novaluron 10%EC	170	1200	204000	40051	163949	1:5.09
Spinosad45% SC	250	1200	300000	44641	255359	1:6.72
Flubendiamide 39.5%SC	160	1200	192000	43051	148949	1:4.45
Control	100	1200	120000	39451	80549z	1:3.04

## **CONCLUSION**

From the above discussion it was found that, spraying of insecticides significantly reduced the fruit borer population in tomato. The present findings conclude that the most effective insecticide was Spinosad among these newer generation insecticides like Spinosad, Indoxacarb, Navuluron, emamectin benzoate, Flubendiamide, Fipronil and neem oil and the least effective was neem oil against lepidopteran caterpillar *Helicovera armigera* along with an additional yield level in tomato. Further, it was observed that the cost benefit ratio was also high with Spinosad and Indoxacarb. Hence, it is suggested that the effective insecticides may be alternated in harmony with the existing Integrated pest management programmes in order to avoid the problems associated with insecticidal resistance, pest resurgence etc.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Prof. (Dr.) Rajendra B. Lal Hon'ble Vice Chancellor SHUATS, Prof. (Dr.) Shailesh Marker, Director of research, Dr. Deepak Lal, Dean of Pg studies, Prof. (Dr.) Gautam Gosh, Dean, Naini Agricultural Institute and Dr. Ashwanikumar, Associate Prof and Head, Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology And Sciences, for taking their keen interest and encouragement to carry out this research work.

## REFERENCES

1. Game, L. , Nagar ,S. and Simon ,S. (2018) Comparative efficacy of selected chemical insecticides and neem products against tomato fruit borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] in Allahabad. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 7(4): 2215-2218.
2. Ghosal, A., Chatterjee, M. L. and Manna, D. (2012). Studies on some insecticides with novel mode of action for the management of tomato fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.). *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 8 (2): 126-129.
3. Ghosh, A. , Chatterjee, M. and Roy, A. (2010) Bio-efficacy of spinosad against tomato fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hub.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its natural enemies. *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*. 2(5): 108-111.
4. Ghulam, A., Najamul, H., Muhammad, F., Ikramul, H. and Haider, K. (2015). Effect of selected insecticides on *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Miller) and their successful management. *Advances in Entomology*. 3: 16-23.
5. Khademul, M.D.I., Howlader, M.T.H. and Ahmed, K.S. (2020). Management of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting tomato using bio-pesticides under field condition and its effect on tomato yield. *Journal of Bangladesh Agriculture University*. 18(1): 1-5.
6. Kumar, K.I and Devi, M. (2014) Field Efficacy, Net Profit and Cost Benefit Ratio of Certain Insecticides against Fruit Borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in Tomato. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment & Biotechnology*. 7(2): 343-346.
7. Kumar, S. , Umrao, R.S. , Kumar, A. , Patel V.K. , Debnath, R. and Kumar, A. (2020) Evaluation of the efficacy of insecticides and biopesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 8(3): 555-558.
8. Meena, L.K., Raju, S.V.S. (2014). Bioefficacy of newer insecticides against tomato fruit borer,

*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* mill under field conditions. *International Quarter Journal of Life Science*. **3**(4):347-350.

- 9. Mustafiz, S.S.B., Chowdhury, M.T.I., and Akter, A. (2015)** Efficacy of Some Botanicals in Controlling Fruit Borer (*Heliothis armigera*) in Tomato. *Academic Journal of Entomology*. **8**(3): 140-149.
- 10. Ojha, P.K., Kumari, R. and Chaudhary R.S. (2017)** Field evaluation of certain bio-pesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) and its impact on pod damage and per plant yield of chickpea. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. **5** (2):1092-1099.
- 11. Padhan, S. and Raghuraman, M. (2019)** Bio-efficacy of some contemporary insecticides against Tomato fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner in Varanasi region. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **8**(6):1113-1116.
- 12. Patil, P.V. , Pawar, S.A. , Kadu, R.V. , and Pawar, D.B. (2018)** Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides, botanicals and microbial against tomato fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting tomato. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. **6**(5):2006-2011.
- 13. Ravi, k.k., Rao, S.C. , Vemuri, S.B. and Reddy, K.N. (2014)** Bio-efficacy of insecticides against fruit borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *International Journal Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. **5**(1):239-243
- 14. Reddy, D.R., Kumar, A. and Sai, P.K. (2021)** Field efficacy of some insecticides against tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner). *Journal of Entomology and Zoological Studies*. **9**(1): 1434-1436.
- 15. Sapkal, S.D., Sonkamble, M.M. and Gaikwad, B.B. (2018)** Bio-efficacy of newer insecticides against tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (mill) under protected cultivation. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. **6**(4):3326-3330.

16. **Sathish, B. N. , Singh, V.V. , Kumar, S. and Kumar, S. (2018)** Efficacy of different chemical insecticides and bio-pesticides against tomato fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato crop. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*. 7(12):107-110.
17. **Singh, N. , Dotasara, S.K. , Kherwa , B. and Singh, S. (2017)** Management of tomato fruit borer by incorporating newer and biorational insecticides. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 5(2): 1403-1408.
18. **Solange, M., França, J.V., Oliveira, Badji, C.A., Guedes, C.A., Barbara, L.R., Duarte and Breda, M.O. (2015)** "Research Integrated management of tomato fruit borer (*Neoleucinodes elegantalis*)". *African Journal of Agriculture*. 10(10):4561-4569.
19. **Sushma, D. and Bharpoda (2016)** Field evaluation of biopesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. Under middle Gujarat condition. *International Journal of Applied Agriculture and Horticulture Sciences*. 8(1):1266-1518.
20. **Tejeswari, K. and Kumar, A. (2021)** Comparative efficacy of chemicals with biopesticides against tomato fruit borer. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 9(5):425-429
21. **Wajid, H., Chhibber, R.C. and Singh, C.P. (2016)**. Effect of indoxacarb against tomato fruit borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) and phytotoxicity to tomato plants. *Advances in Plants and Agriculture Research*. 3(2):51-54.
22. **Yadav, U. and Latha, T.H.S. (2021)** Field efficacy of selected insecticides against tomato fruit borer [*Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner)] on tomato. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 10(10):841-843