

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_90257
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SALINITY ON YIELD PARAMETERS, YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF BARLEY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The field experiment was conducted during season of 2017-2018 at Agricultural Research Farm, School of Agriculture, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jagatpura, Jaipur. Study entitled "Relative performance of barley (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.) cultivars under saline water condition" under Randomized Block Design. To evaluate ten barley cultivars namely RD 2715, RD 2035, RD 2592, RD 2849, RD 2860, RD 2552, RD 2668, RD 2097, BH 946, RD 2052 were grown under field condition for checking individual performance under saline water condition. The results revealed that among ten cultivars RD 2552 cultivar significantly recorded maximum plant population, growth parameters and ultimately increased grain(45.21 q ha⁻¹) as well as straw yield of barley, maximum net realization (41248₹ ha⁻¹) and B: C ratio (1:2.2)</p> <p>1- The overall idea presented by the paper is well acceptable. 2- English should be improved in all the papers. 3- should be Added to other References on the list. 4- The organization of the paper is convenient in general.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- The proofreading is required because there are some grammatical mistakes.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1- should be Added to other References on the list.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moeid Mohamed Elsokah
Department, University & Country	College of Electronic Technology, Libya