

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89421
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening and storage analysis of cider of bael (Aegle marmelos (L.) Correa) fruits
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The results indicated a declining trend.: Rewrite due to poor language</p> <p>Introduction: The bael fruit possesses laxative, cooling, and laxative effects as well as the capacity to treat constipation. : Rewrite (Roy and Singh,1979a) : Not found in references TSS (12.90B) : TSS (12.90Brix OR 12.90⁰ B) Materials and Methodology: Author has not given the information regarding the genotypes and stages as he/she has write in results and discussion. Super dioxide: super dioxide (s should be small). Chemical estimation of bael cider : TSS was estimated : Author should write in full form of TSS i.e. Total soluble solids as the sentence start. Last line : ... of RFRAC Lucknow through the procedure mention in :Sentence is incomplete</p> <p>Result and Discussion: Results and Discussion The data arranged in table 1 : instead of table Table should be write. Garg (2014) : Garg et al. (2014). Last line of results and discussion. Conclusion: Very poor. Author should given the reason why the quality was good/bad with proper reasons, instead of write particular month. He/She may correlate the results with the atmospheric condition.</p> <p>Table 1: As author has write in the results that The interaction impact between genotype and stage was found non-significant. But the interaction effect was statistically significant. Because generally C.D. value is 2.5 to 3 time higher from S.Em.</p> <p>Table-2 Chemical changes during the storage analysis of bael cider</p> <p>Author has not indicate about the genotypes in the table</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Discussion part is very poor	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitendra J Dhruv
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India